The ISAF Council met at 14:30 - 17:00 hours on Thursday 10 November, 09:30-17:00 on Friday 11 November and 09:30 – 15:30 on Saturday 12 November 2011 at the Caribe Hilton Hotel, San Juan, Puerto Rico



9 9

9

12 43 48

48

Please refer to the ISAF website www.sailing.org for the details of the submissions referred to in these minutes.

1.	Opening of the Meeting	3	11. America's Cup
	President's Report	3	12. Tracking
	World Youth Sailing Trust	4	13. Submissions Deferred from Previous Council
	Minutes of the Previous Meeting	4	Meetings
5.		5	14. Submissions November 2011
6.	Activity Reports	6	15. Recommendations
7.	International Sports Update	7	16. Future ISAF Meetings
8.	ISAF Members	7	17. Any Other Business
9.	2012 Olympic Sailing Competition	7	
10	ISAF Events	7	

Present

Tresent	
Göran Petersson (SWE)	President and Meeting Chairman
HM King Constantine - not present from 14:30, 12 November	President of Honour (ex officio non voting)
Tomasz Holc (POL)	Vice-President
Nazli Imre (TUR)	Vice-President
David Irish (USA)	Vice-President
Teresa Lara (VEN)	Vice-President
Teo-Ping Low (SIN)	Vice-President
Alberto Predieri (ITA)	Vice-President
Eric Tulla (PUR)	Vice-President
David Kellett (AUS)	Treasurer (ex officio non voting)
Chris Atkins (GBR)	Representatives of Group A - United
John Crebbin (IRL)	Kingdom & Ireland
Tatjana Antoncic (SLO)	Representatives of Group B - Central
Rolf Baehr (GER)	Europe
Tomasz Chamera (POL)	Representatives of Group C - East Europe
Kamen Fillyov (BUL) - not present from 11 am, 12 November	
George Andreadis (GRE)	Representatives of Group D - South
Jean-Pierre Champion (FRA)	Europe
Walter Cavalucci (ITA) – alternate for Sergio Gaibisso	
Gerardo Pombo (ESP)	Representative of Group E - Iberian Peninsula
Patrick Bergmans (BEL)	Representatives of Group F – Low Countries
Kurt Lonnqvist (FIN)	Representatives of Group G - Scandinavia
Stig Hvide Smith (NOR)	
Oleg Ilyin (RUS) – alternate for Alexander Kotenkov	Representative of Group H - Euro-Asian
Khalifa M Al-Sewaidi (QAT) - not present 10 November and	Representative of Group I – North Africa
from 14:30, 12 November	and Middle East
Takao Otani (JPN)	Representatives of Group J - East Asia
Ajay Balram (IND)	Representative of Group K - South and Central Asia
Ralph Roberts (NZL)	Representatives of Group L - South West
David Tillett (AUS)	Pacific
Pablo Masseroni (ARG) – Alternate for Maximo Rivero-Kelly	Representative of Group M - South & West

South America Abraham Rosemberg (BRA) - Alternate for Harry Adler Representative of Group N - Central & East South America Representatives of Group O – North South Jane Moon (CAY) America, Central America and Caribbean Hector Duval - Alternate for Andres Santana 10 November Raul Rios (PUR) - Alternate for Andres Santana from 13:30 11 November and 12 November Charley Cook (USA) Representatives of Group P - North America Cory Sertl (USA) -Dick Rose (USA) - Alternate for Cory Sertl from 14:40 hrs 12 November Peter Hall - (CAN) David Covo (CAN) - Alternate for Peter Hall from 14:40 hrs 12 November Representative of Group Q - Africa - South Ross Robson (RSA) of the Sahara Jeff Martin (GBR) **ISAF Classes Representative** Jacques Lehn (FRA) Oceanic and Offshore Representative Fiona Kidd (CAN) Women's Representative Ben Barger (USA) Chairman Athletes Commission (non voting 10 November only)) **Apologies:** HM King Harald V of Norway President of Honour Sergio Gaibisso (ITA) Representative of Group D – South Europe Alexander Kotenkov (RUS) Representative of Group H - Euro-Asian Maximo Rivero Kelly ((ARG) Representative of Group M - South & West South America Harry Adler (BRA) Representative of Group N - Central & East South America Andres Santana (DOM) Representatives of Group O – North South America, Central America and Caribbean Also in attendance: Jerome Pels (NED) Secretary General Philip Tolhurst (GBR) **Chairman Constitution Committee** Christine Dayon (FRA) Translator for Council Member Jean-Pierre Champion Antonio de la Madrid **Competitions Manager** Simon Forbes Technical and Offshore Manager Alastair Fox **Events Manager** Helen Fry Head of Administration Dan Jaspers Training and Development Manager **Trish Merrick** Governance Services Administrator Tamsin Rand Marketing and Media Co-ordinator Tim Sewell Head of Marketing and Media Jason Smithwick Head of Technical and Offshore Rob Taylor **Technical Co-ordinator** Before commencing the business of the meeting, those present stood in memory of

Before commencing the business of the meeting, those present stood in memory of colleagues, friends and sailors who have passed away since the last meeting of Council in St Petersburg, Russia, May 2011: Fernando Pombo (ESP) ISAF Committee Member, Vernon Stratton (GBR) former Olympic Finn sailor and three times British Olympic Sailing Team Manager.

1. Opening of the Meeting

(a) Welcome

The President opened the meeting and thanked the Puerto Rico Sailing Federation for hosting the meeting in the beautiful location of San Juan. He introduced Richard Carrion, IOC Executive Board member and Chairman of the IOC Finance Commission, who welcomed everyone to San Juan; talked about the development of sailing in the Puerto Rico and the good relationship ISAF has with the IOC.

Council received the "Green Book" containing the recommendations on submissions and were informed of the submissions which had been withdrawn since publication of the booklet:

146-09, 142-10, 183-10, 010-11, 036-11, 058-11, 076-11, 132-11, 188-11, 203-11, 204-11, 220-11, 222-11, 225-11, 226-11, 228-11, 231-11, 232-11, 233-11, 234-11, 236-11, 237-11, 238-11, 239-11, 240-11, 241-11- Proposal 2 only, 242-11, 244-11, 245-11, 246-11, 247-11, 248-11, 250-11, 257-11

The President outlined the order of proceedings, declarations of conflict of interest and rules of debate. Ben Barger, Representative of the Athletes' Commission was invited to sit at the Council table and able to speak on any matter but without voting rights. Following the approval of Resolution 1 at the AGM which took place on 10 November, Ben Barger was welcomed to Council with full voting rights for the remainder of the meetings.

The Secretary General explained the voting procedure and confirmed that the President would only vote in the event of the need for a casting vote and therefore 38 members of Council were entitled to vote for the Council meeting of 10 November and following the decision at the AGM, 39 were entitled to vote for the meetings of 11 and 12 November.

(b) Attendees, alternates and apologies

Council noted the apologies and alternates.

2. **President's Report**

The President commented on how much had happened in the short months since the meeting in May. First hand experience at Kiel in June demonstrated how the concept of the ISAF World Cup is progressing and the work that still needed to be done. Managing the calendar of events will be a priority for the Events Committee in order to maintain the level of entries for the ISAF World Cup.

The President reported on the IOC session held in Durban, South Africa in July. The fight against illegal betting and behaviour is not limited to athletes alone and extended to the "athlete's entourage" from family members, doctors to coaches and mechanics.

A significant portion of the increased IOC revenues funded by TV and Commercial Partners Programme is invested directly into the development of sport and as a result many more of our members benefit from Olympic Solidarity funding.

The IOC is keen for federations to take an active role in providing career options for athletes once they have finished their sporting career and ISAF has some good opportunities here with boatbuilding, sales, maintenance and the super yacht industries for example.

The IOC will be monitoring compliance with the IOC Ethics Code throughout the Olympic family with a focus on Good Governance.

The key message for the Games themselves is that sports must keep cost and complexity under control. They must also be sustainable and leave a legacy. The watchwords for Olympic sports are fast pace, challenging, innovative. All these terms epitomise the America's Cup.

It has been a very eventful few months of ISAF events; the ISAF Youth Sailing Championship in Zadar, Croatia in July, the Team Racing Worlds in Schull, Ireland in late August / early September and the ISAF Nations Cup final in Sheboygan, USA in late September. All focus now is on the 2011 ISAF World Championships in Perth, Australia at the beginning of December.

There is a large number of submissions to amend the Racing Rules of Sailing which is usual and which, when considered, will be included in the next 2013 -2016 edition.

The President confirmed that ISAF is on track with regard to the objectives and deadlines detailed in the 4 year strategic plan but much still needs to be done as we approach the final year of its term.

The President took the opportunity to congratulate Council Member Ralph Roberts on receiving the New Zealand Olympic Order during the 100th anniversary of Olympic Movement in New Zealand in October.

The President awarded Antony Matusch (GBR) with the ISAF Silver Medal in recognition of his significant contribution in his work and term as Chairman of the Sailor Classification Commission.

3. World Youth Sailing Trust

Council Member and WYST Trustee Chris Atkins gave a presentation on the World Youth Sailing Trust explaining how the Trust is working to facilitate access to coaching for young sailors from emerging nations taking part in the ISAF Youth Sailing World Championship. The coaches play a vital role in supporting the sailors in all on and off the water aspects of the Event, delivering daily briefings and evening de-briefs for all competitors in addition to helping them develop their racing skills.

He concluded that more Ambassadors, like Raul Rios from Puerto Rico, who was present at the meeting need to be appointed and Council Members were invited to promote the Trust and obtain donations. A video from the 2011 ISAF Youth Sailing World Championship was shown.

Council Member and WYST founding member, Ralph Roberts presented an emeritus certificate to Ding Schoonmaker, one of the WYST founder members.

4. Minutes of the Previous Meeting

Minutes can be downloaded at www.sailing.org/meetings

(a) Minutes

Council noted the minutes of the Council meetings of 6 and 7 May 2011 (circulated and approved after the meeting).

i) Matters Arising

There were no matters arising from the previous minutes.

(b) Executive Committee Minutes

Council noted the minutes of the Executive Committee Meetings of:

i) 4, 5, 7 and 8 May 2011

ii) 10, 11, and 12 September 2011

5. Finance

(a) Management Accounts

The Secretary General presented the Management Accounts up to the 3rd Quarter 2011. It was noted that an additional income of GBP 200,000 was received from fees payable on new boats, race officials payments and special event approval fees. The expenditure total was on budget.

(b) Budget 2012

The Secretary General presented an overview of the budget for 2012 and it was pointed out that additional investment for the ISAF Sailing World Cup 2013 may be required, but that related income could also be expected. Investments (up to £100,000) may be required for the implementation of a Marketing Strategy particularly in relation to the ISAF Sailing World Cup as well as an additional amount (to be determined) for the supply of the appropriate "man power" for the implementation of the ISAF Sailing World Cup. Requests for additional expenditure may be considered at the Executive Committee meeting in February 2012.

Decision

Council noted the proposed budget for 1 January to 31 December 2012 (Appendix 1)

(c) Trust Report

The Treasurer reported that despite continued economic turbulence the overall value of short term investments has increased and there are sufficient funds available for ISAF to continue until the next allocation of IOC monies.

(d) Outstanding Subscriptions

Council noted the unpaid Member National Authority (MNA) and Class Subscriptions updated as at 9 November 2011:

Member National Authorities	<u>GBP</u>	Notes
Armenian Sailing Federation	58.00	MNA Subscription 2011
African Sailing Confederation	173.00	Affiliate 2011
Sailing Federation of Azerbaijan	58.00	MNA Subscription 2011
Botswana Yacht Racing Association	24.00	MNA Subscription 2011
Iran Canoeing, Rowing and Sailing Federation	58.00	MNA Subscription 2011
Libyan Sailing Federation	116.00	MNA Subscription 2010 & 2011
Nigeria Rowing and Yachting Federation	116.00	MNA Subscription 2010 & 2011
Oceania Sailing Federation	173.00	Affiliate 2011
Palestine Sailing Federation	58.00	MNA Subscription 2011
Pan American Sailing Federation	182.00	Affiliate 2011

Yacht Racing Association of the

Democratic People's Republic of Korea	2,400.00	MNA Subscription 2010 & 2011
Royal British Virgin Islands Yacht Club	58.00	MNA Subscription 2011
Federation Senegalaise de Voile	58.00	MNA Subscription 2011
Yachting Association of Sri Lanka	58.00	MNA Subscription 2011
Federation Tunisienne de Voile	58.00	MNA Subscription 2011
<u>Classes</u>		
International Dart 18 Class Association	173.00	Class Subscription 2011
Formula 16 International Class Association	207.60	Class Subscription 2011
International Laser II Class Association	173.00	Class Subscription 2011
International Musto Performance Skiff Class	207.60	Class Subscription 2011
Sonar Class Association	338.00	Class Subscription 2009 & 2010
Tempest Class Association	173.00	Class Subscription 2010
Ultimate 20 Class Association	173.00	Class Subscription 2011
X-99 Class Association	203.28	Class Subscription 2010
	<u>4,709.48</u>	

6. Activity Reports

- (a) Council received reports from Committee Chairmen or in their absence, the Vice-Chairmen on their Committee's activities for the period 1 January 2011 through 31 October 2011.
 - i) Audit Committee Jan Dawson
 - ii) Constitution Committee Philip Tolhurst
 - iii) Development and Youth Committee Olivier Bovyn
 - iv) Equipment Committee Dick Batt
 - v) Events Committee Chris Atkins
 - vi) ISAF Classes Committee Jeff Martin
 - vii) Match Racing Committee Henry Menin
 - viii) Oceanic and Offshore Committee Jacques Lehn
 - ix) Race Officials Committee Charley Cook
 - x) Racing Rules Committee David Tillett
 - xi) Regional Games Committee Anna Andreadis
 - xii) Windsurfing and Kiteboarding Committee Kamen Fillyov
- (b) A verbal report and presentation from the International Association for Disabled Sailing (IFDS) was received from Julio Reguero (PUR) and Linda Merkle, IFDS President.
- (c) A written report from the World Sailing Speed Record Council (WSSRC) was noted and Stan Honey also gave a verbal report.

(d) A written report from the International Radio Sailing Association (IRSA) was noted.

7. International Sports Update

To receive a verbal report from the President regarding:

(a) IOC and ASOIF

The President emphasised the role that the IOC Ethics Commission will have in dealing with corruption at all levels at the Olympic Games. ISAF will establish an ISAF Ethics Commission and will have to appoint an Anti-Corruption Officer.

The President informed Council that the age limits for competitors at the Youth Olympic Games had been set at 15 to 18 years. The decision on age groups within that band is up to each International Federation. ASOIF is also closely looking at a common structure for its member federations.

8. **ISAF Members**

(a) Netherlands Antilles NOC

As a result of the formal dissolution of the Netherlands Antilles in October 2010 the IOC session July 2011 ratified a previous decision by the IOC Executive Board and withdrew recognition from the Netherland Antilles NOC. Temporary measures were put in place for athletes from AHO to qualify and compete at the 2012 Olympic Games using the Olympic flag. The NOC's membership would continue, but limited to its representation of Curacao and St Maarten. It is envisaged that in due time Curacao and St Maarten will become separate Member National Authorities.

(b) Any other business

It was confirmed that an application from Kosovo for full member status could progress.

9. 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition

- (a) David Kellett, the Technical Delegate, reported that the test event was a success with 436 athletes competing in 309 boats. The purpose built Athlete's village is almost completed and an example of the good co-operation between LOCOG and ISAF. It is the ideal situation for the athletes and will also leave a legacy of affordable housing in the area. For the first time in Olympic history the sailing venue will be ticketed and all tickets are sold out.
- (b) Rob Andrew, LOCOG Competitions Manager for Sailing, gave a presentation on the arrangements for the sailing venue in Weymouth and Portland. The test event evaluated the field of play, equipment, tracking and the results systems. Rob Andrews and his team will be working closely with ISAF on the sports presentation to deliver an excellent event.

In conclusion David Kellett thanked Rob Andrews and his team for their cooperation and achievements.

10. ISAF Events

(a) ISAF Youth Sailing World Championship, 7-16 July 2011, Zadar, Croatia

In addition to the written reports, Vice-President Nazli Imre informed Council that thanks to the efforts of all concerned the competitors at the event received exceptional

support in the form of coaching sessions, anti-doping education and Rule 42 instruction.

(b) ISAF Team Racing World Championship, 27 August to 4 September 2011, Schull, Ireland

In addition to the written report, Vice-President Tomasz Holc informed Council the contribution from volunteers played a great part in the success of this event.

(c) ISAF Nations Cup Grand Final, 13-18 September, Sheboygan, USA

Vice-President David Irish gave verbal confirmation of the written report received by Council.

(d) ISAF Sailing World Cup

Vice President Alberto Predieri presented his report in two sections:

i) ISAF Sailing World Cup 2010 to 2011

The report on the 2010-2011 edition was presented in the format of a selection of video action highlights. It was noted that the progressive improvements made during the course of the last editions of the ISAF Sailing World Cup to establish consistency in standardization of event and race management, documentation, in maximizing athlete participation, media coverage and multi media feedback have been achieved thanks to the outstanding cooperation between the event organizers and ISAF, the support of the management group, the Events Appointment Working Party and the hard work of the staff of the ISAF Secretariat.

ii) ISAF Sailing World Cup 2013 onwards

The Council was given a brief summary of the activities carried out by the Executive Committee since the last Annual Conference in accordance with the mandate to implement the strategy approved by Council (in short "phased implementation of the ISAF Sailing World Cup with one event per continent, no "grand final"):

- a working group (made up of Chairman Bernard Bonneau, Phil Jones, Chris Atkins, Corinne McKenzie, Peter Kruger-Andersen, Alastair Fox and Alberto Predieri) drafted the bid guidelines for the ISAF Sailing World Cup edition 2012-2013 onwards;
- the Secretariat arranged for tenders to be received;
- the Executive Committee appointed an Evaluation Panel (made up of Carolijn Brouwer, Corinne McKenzie, Jan Stage, Gary Bodie, Alastair Fox and Alberto Predieri) who met in Amsterdam for two days in order to make the technical assessment of the bids received (from all continents);
- the Secretariat prepared and negotiated the agreement to be signed with the bidders in order to be selected.

To date the Executive Committee has announced the first 2 ISAF Sailing World Cup European events for 2013 and 2014 (Hyères, France and Palma de Mallorca, Spain respectively). The events in Asia, Africa, Middle East, the Americas and Oceania will make up the full complement of the ISAF Sailing World Cup and further announcements on these events will be made in due time, following the finalization of further contractual arrangements with the remaining bidders.

As noted under item 5 (b) of these minutes the Executive Committee is in the process of seeking the assistance of an independent third party (media agency) to define the business plan for the coming years and to secure the title sponsor of the

ISAF Sailing World Cup. Further consideration has already been given (with fine tuning still to be done), to the plan for the day to day running and management of the ISAF Sailing World Cup from 2013 onwards, with the aim of identifying the dedicated "man power" (either an employee or an independent person or company).

Last but not least, as reported by the Chairman of the Events Committee, the Executive Committee, with the selection of the venues for the ISAF Sailing World Cup 2013 onwards nearing completion, asked the Chairman of the Events Committee to form a working group to make recommendations on the next steps of the phased global implementation of the ISAF Sailing World Cup and to address all the issues that have arisen in the past months (from the Executive Committee, sailors, coaches, MNAs, media). The recommendations shall be presented for consideration by the Events Committee and Council at the ISAF Mid-Year Meeting 2012.

(e) ISAF Sailing World Championships 2011, Perth, Australia

The Treasurer reported that the final preparations are in hand for the 1200 Athletes from 79 countries to take part in the eagerly awaited ISAF Sailing World Championships in Perth. The Technical Delegate, Alastair Fox added that there has been great commitment over the past two years to ensure a complete package of sports presentation, live broadcasting and media coverage. A full report will be made to Council in May 2012.

(f) ISAF Sailing World Championships 2014 - Santander

Gerardo Seeliger (ESP) gave a presentation on the Emerging Nations Programme with the goal to achieve entries from 100 nations. Starting in 2012 several regional courses will take place for sailors and coaches. Council members were asked to assist in passing on the names of sailors and coaches so that early contact can be established. In 2013 it is planned to run eight ten day courses for up to twenty five participants and in 2014 ten one day courses. At the 2014 ISAF Sailing World Championships 40 lasers and 40 boards, fully equipped, will be offered to sailors from emerging nations along with free accommodation and food with subsidised transport for participating sailors and free coach boats.

11. America's Cup

- (a) ISAF has an excellent relationship with the America's Cup and the Treasurer, David Kellett spoke briefly on the exciting developments taking place.
- (b) Andy Hindley, Chief Operating Officer for America's Cup Race Management, gave a presentation.

12. Tracking

Patrick Bergmans, Chairman of the Information and Tracking Technology Commission reported on ongoing projects:

(a) Survey on current tracking methods

An in-depth review of GPS technologies was conducted at the America's Cup and test event along with exploration of methods for telemetry. A report to include cost consideration is being prepared which may lead to a partnership with a supplier to build devices dedicated to sailing.

(b) Accuracy Measurement Project

A project regarding accuracy measurement involving Cooperation between the ITTC, ISAF staff, University of Southampton and Wolfson Unit is progressing.

(c) Dinghy Racing

Tracking for dinghy racing at most events currently continues as in previous years. The objective is for a standard "user-owned" tracker transmitter for usage of all levels of dinghy racing except top level.

(d) Tracking

Tracking at the Test Event was completed by Swiss Timing and Be-Tomorrow. This system appears to be working quite well but there were reports that the trackers were not fully deployed on marks on some courses which led to some course display errors. Some bugs (transmission between tracking and viewing) were identified and resolved. A second test will be run at the ISAF Sailing World Championships in Perth.

(e) Medal Races

Stan Honey (USA) reported on tracking at the America's Cup which worked extremely well with an accuracy of 2cm. It is thought this system, developed at a high cost, could be the basis for a proposal for medal races.

(f) Tracking at other events

The ITTC are looking at the tracking methods used in China, and offshore events in Scandinavia and Kieler Woche

(g) Other

The 2-3 year project regarding Regatta Publication/Presentation Platform is ongoing. The ITTC are also looking at providing organisations regatta results in XXR format with extensions for publication of other relevant data such as weather, jury decisions, mark roundings etc.

13. Submissions Deferred from Previous Council Meetings

Please refer to the 2011 Submissions Booklet for details of all submissions also available online at www.sailing.org/meetings

(a) Submission 146-09 2016 Racing Rules of Sailing - Racing Rules

Council noted that submission 146-09 was withdrawn.

(b) Submission 022-10 Sailing Training Centres - Development and Youth

As there was no seconder this submission was not considered by Council.

(c) Submission 058-10 Definition of Young, Youth and Senior - Events

As there was no seconder this submission was not considered by Council.

(d) Submission 071-10

Council noted that submission 071-10 was withdrawn.

(e) Submission 074-10 Equipment Rules of Sailing - Equipment

Decision

On a proposal from Ross Robson, seconded by George Andreadis, Council unanimously approved submission 074-10 (38 participated).

(f) Submission 085-10

Council noted that submission 085-10 was withdrawn.

(g) Submission 141-10 International Measurer - Race Officials

Decision

On proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Abraham Rosemberg, Council approved submission 141-10 with the following amendment (0 reject, 2 abstain, 36 approve - 38 participated):

Amend Regulation 31.13.1 and 31.13.2:

- 31.13.1 The appointment is made for a specific Class or <u>Rating System</u>. For the purpose of this Regulation a Rating System, except where otherwise stated, shall be deemed to have the status of a Class.
- 31.13.2 An International Measurer can only be appointed to a maximum of three Classes except **that** the Race Officials Committee may authorise additional Classes if they are very similar to measure as a Class the International Measurer is already appointed to.
- 31.13.3 A candidate for appointment as International Measurer shall:
 - have acted as an Equipment Inspector in at least two principle events (as defined by the International Measurers Sub-committee) of the Class he is applying for, within the last four years prior to 1 September of the year of application;
 - (b) have attended an ISAF International Measurer's seminar within the last four years prior to 1 September of the year of application but not later than 14 October in that year; and
 - (c) have an intimate knowledge of the relevant Class rules and the ISAF Equipment Rules of Sailing.
 - (d) be recommended by the relevant class association <u>or, for</u> <u>Rating Systems, by an ISAF Rating class</u> and another International Measurer.
- Submission 142-10 International Measurer Race Officials
 Council noted that submission 142-10 was withdrawn.
- Submission 183-10 Racing Rules of Sailing Racing Rules
 Council noted that submission 183-10 was withdrawn
- (j) Submission 186-10

Council noted that submission 186-10 was withdrawn

(k) Submission 233-10 Racing Rules of Sailing - Racing Rules

Decision

On a proposal from David Tillett, seconded by Charley Cook, Council unanimously approved submission 233-10 with the following amendment and addition to Appendix M as follows (38 participated):

Case XXX

Rule 66, Reopening a Hearing

Interpretation of the word "new" as used in rule 66.

Question

What criteria should the protest committee use to decide whether or not evidence is "new"?

Answer

Evidence is "new"

- if it was not reasonably possible for the party asking for the reopening to have discovered the evidence before the original hearing,
- if the protest committee is satisfied that before the original hearing the evidence was diligently but unsuccessfully sought by the party asking for the reopening, or
- if the protest committee learns from any source that the evidence was not available to the parties at the time of the original hearing.

Recommended addition to Appendix M

Number current M4 as M4.1 and insert a new paragraph 4.2. M4 would then read as follows:

M4 REOPENING A HEARING (rule 66)

M4.1 When a *party*, within the time limit, has asked for a hearing to be reopened, hear the *party* making the request, look at any video, etc., and decide whether there is any material new evidence that might lead you to change your decision. Decide whether your interpretation of the *rules* may have been wrong; be open-minded as to whether you have made a mistake. If none of these applies refuse to reopen; otherwise schedule a hearing.

M4.2 Evidence is "new"

- if it was not reasonably possible for the party asking for the reopening to have discovered the evidence before the original hearing,
- if the protest committee is satisfied that before the original hearing the evidence was diligently but unsuccessfully sought by the party asking for the reopening, or
- if the protest committee learns from any source that the evidence was not available to the parties at the time of the original hearing.

14. Submissions November 2011

Please refer to the 2011 Submissions Booklet for details of all submissions – also available online at www.sailing.org/meetings

(a) Submission for which the Executive Committee and the Development and Youth Committee are the Reporting Committees

Submission 001-11

Council confirmed all amendments were friendly

Decision

On proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Peter Hall, Council approved submission 001-11 with the following amendment (2 reject, 1 abstain, 35 approved - 38 participated):

Terms of reference

6.3.3 The Development and Youth Committee shall:

(a) be responsible for the certification appointment and removal of ISAF Trainers nominated experts to run ISAF technical courses for coaches;

Council noted that a definition of "Nominated Expert" may be required.

(b) Submission for which the Executive Committee and the Equipment Committee are the Reporting Committees

Submission 002-11

Decision

On a proposal from Abraham Rosemberg, seconded by Kamen Fillyov, Council approved submission 002-11 with the following amendment (0 reject, 1 abstain, 36 approve - 37 participated):

Terms of reference

6.4.5.3 The Class Rules Sub-committee shall:

- (a) monitor and advise the process for Class Rule changes of ISAF Classes in accordance with Regulation 10.11 establish, and maintain and publish the policies for the approval process of proposed class rules changes referred to in Regulation 10.11.4;
- 10.11.4 The sub-committee Secretary General may in consultation with the Class Rules Sub-Committee approve or reject the changes <u>using policies</u> processes determined by the Class Rules Sub-committee or return them to the Class/Owners Association with comments for revision. However before rejecting them the Chairman of the Class Rules Sub-committee Secretary General shall report the views of his committee back to the Class/Owners Association, who shall be entitled to comment on such views within thirty days of such report. Any such comments shall be submitted to the members of the Class Rules Subcommittee considered before a final decision is made.
- (c) Submissions for which the Executive Committee is the reporting committee
 - i) Submission 003-11

Council noted that submission 003-11 was withdrawn

ii) Submission 004-11

On a proposal from Peter Hall, seconded by Kurt Lonnqvist Council approved submission 004-11 (0 reject, 0 abstain, 37 approve - 37 participated)

iii) Submission 005-11

Decision

On proposal from Peter Hall, seconded by Kurt Lonnqvist, Council approved submission 005-11 (1 reject, 1 abstain, 36 approve - 38 participated).

iv) Submission 006-11

Decision

On a proposal to reject from Jeff Martin, seconded by Abraham Rosemberg, Council rejected submission 006-11 (30 Reject, 4 abstain, 4 approve - 38 participated).

v) Submission 007-11

Decision

On a proposal from Eric Tulla, seconded by John Crebbin, Council unanimously deferred submission 007-11 to May 2012 (0 reject, 0 abstain, 38 approve - 38 participated).

vi) Submission 008-11

Council noted that jeff Martin, Chairman of the ISAF Classes Committee, withdrew the friendly amendment to submission 008-11.

Decision

On a proposal from Alberto Predieri, seconded by Jeff Martin, Council approved submission 008-11 (0 reject, 0 abstain, 34 approve - 34 participated):

vii) Submission 009-11

Decision

On a proposal from Alberto Predieri, seconded by Ross Robson, Council approved submission 009-11 with the following amendment (1 reject, 0 abstain, 37 approve - 38 participated):

(e) the America's Cup and all qualifying events for the America's Cup;

and in such cases ISAF may agree in writing any departures from provisions of the Code on a case by case basis;

viii) Submission 010-11

Council noted that submission 010-11 was withdrawn

ix) Submission 011-11

Decision

On a proposal from Alberto Predieri, seconded by Kamen Fillyov, Council unanimously approved submission 011-11 with the following amendment (0 reject, 0 abstain, 39 approve):

- 20.7.1 The display of the <u>manufacturer's and sailmaker's</u> marks is permitted at all times <u>as detailed in Table 2 but in any case not on areas detailed in</u> <u>Regulation 20.4 and Table 1</u> and shall not <u>constitute</u> be deemed as a limitation to the rights to display Advertising as set forth in the Code, in the class rules and in the rules of a System.
- x) Submission 012-11

Decision

On a proposal from Alberto Predieri, seconded by Abraham Rosemberg, Council approved submission 012-11 (0 reject, 0 abstain, 38 approve - 38 participated).

xi) Submission 013-11

Decision

On a proposal from Alberto Predieri, seconded by Abraham Rosemberg, Council unanimously approved submission 013-11 (39 participated).

xii) Submission 014-11

Decision

On a proposal from Nazli Imre, seconded by Kamen Fillyov, Council unanimously approved submission 014-11 (39 participated).

xiii) Submission 015-11

Decision

On a proposal from David Irish, seconded by Abraham Rosemberg, Council approved submission 015-11 with the following amendment (1 reject, 0 abstain, 37 approve - 38 participated):

22.2.3 Group 3

If a Group 3 competitor has *raced* in the an Olympic Sailing Competition, the a Volvo Ocean Race or any *racing* which forms part of the events connected with the America's Cup (as determined by the Commission (which shall issue prior written notice of such determinations)), whether or not the competitor was Group 3 at that time, then:

xiv) Submissions 016-11 and 017-11

There was no objection to a single vote on submissions 016-11 and 017-11.

Decision

On a proposal from David Irish, seconded by Kamen Fillyov, Council unanimously approved submissions 016-11 and 017-11 (39 participated).

xv) Submission 018-11

Decision

On a proposal from Eric Tulla, seconded by Peter Hall, Council approved submission 018-11 with the following amendment (3 reject, 0 abstain, 35 approve - 38 participated):

Commercial Rights

25.14.1 ISAF exclusively owns and controls all commercial rights in and to the ISAF Events, such Commercial Prights to include, without limitation and in each case on a worldwide basis, all:

25.14.2 ISAF shall be entitled to exploit the <u>Commercial Prights in such</u> manner as it considers appropriate, including granting licences in respect of the same (or part thereof) to relevant Member National Authority or other third party(ies) from time to time.

(Submissions 019-11 to 028-11 Reserved)

- (d) Submission for which the Constitution Committee is the Reporting Committee
 - i) Submission 029-11

Decision

On a proposal from John Crebbin, seconded by Ross Robson, Council approved submission 029-11 (2 reject, 1 abstain, 34 approve - 37 participated).

Council noted that the changes to the Articles would be put forward to the AGM of 10 November 2011.

- (e) Submissions for which the Constitution Committee, Events Committee, ISAF Classes and Regional Games are the Reporting Committees
 - i) Submission 030-11

Decision

On a proposal from Ralph Roberts, seconded by Jane Moon, Council approved submission 030-11 (0 reject, 2 abstain, 35 approve - 37 participated).

Council noted that the changes to the Articles would be put forward to the AGM of 10 November 2011.

- (f) Submissions for which the Constitution Committee is the Reporting Committee
 - i) Submission 031-11

Council debated the proposals in submission 031-11.

Decision 1

On a proposal to defer from Eric Tulla, seconded by Jacques Lehn, Council rejected the motion to defer submission 031-11 to May 2012 (31 reject, 0 abstain, 8 approve - 39 participated).

Decision 2

On a proposal to reject from Ross Robson, seconded by John Crebbin, Council rejected submission 031-11 (35 reject, 0 abstain, 4 approve - 39 participated).

The President then asked Council if a new submission should be put forward by the Executive Committee in November 20011 to enable a slate of Presidents and Vice-Presidents to be elected in accordance with the decision made by Council in May 2011.

Decision 3

On a proposal to reject from Jane Moon, seconded by Kurt Lonnqvist, Council rejected the idea of a slate (27 reject, 2 abstain, 10 approve - 39 participated).

Council noted that this meant the decision made by Council in May 2011 for a submission to amend the Articles of Constitution relating to the Election of the Presidents and Vice- Presidents was rescinded.

ii) Submission 032-11

Decision

On a proposal from Charley Cook, seconded by Pablo Masseroni, Council approved submission 032-11 with the following amendment (1 reject, 1 abstain, 36 approve - 38 participated):

- 16.4 Before considering any such request, the Constitution Committee shall:
 - (a) provide a copy of the request to any committee(s) whose terms of reference are substantively and directly relevant to the request;
 - (b) permit the committee(s) reasonable time to comment., having regard to when the Constitution Committee would expect or be expected to issue that interpretation

(Submissions 033-11 to -035-11 Reserved)

- (g) Submissions for which the Development and Youth Committee is the Reporting Committee
 - i) Submission 036-11

Council noted that submission 036-11 was withdrawn.

ii) Submissions 037-11 and 038-11

There was no objection to a single vote on submissions 037-11 and 038-11

Decision

On a proposal from Kamen Fillyov, seconded by John Crebbin, Council unanimously approved submissions 037-11 and 038-11 - 38 participated).

iii) Submissions 039-11 and 040-11

There was no objection to a single vote on submissions 039-11 and 040-11.

Decision

On a proposal from Jane Moon, seconded by Kamen Fillyov, Council approved submissions 039-11 and 040-11 (6 reject, 1 abstain, 32 approve - 39 participated).

iv) Submission 041-11

Decision

On a proposal from Pablo Masseroni, seconded by Abraham Rosemberg, Council approved submission 041-11 (3 reject, 0 abstain, 36 approve - 39 participated). v) Submission 042-11

As there was no proposer this submission was not considered by Council

vi) Submission 043-11

Decision

On a proposal from Kurt Lonnqvist, seconded by Patrick Bergmans, Council deferred submission 043-11 to May 2012 (10 reject, 1 abstain, 28 approve - 39 participated).

vii) Submission 044-11

Decision

On a proposal to defer from Kurt Lonnqvist, seconded by Patrick Bergmans, Council deferred submission 044-11 to May 2012 (9 reject, 0 abstain, 30 approve).

viii) Submission 045-11

Decision

On a proposal from Kurt Lonnqvist, seconded by Patrick Bergmans, Council deferred submission 045-11 to May 2012 (7 reject, 0 abstain, 31 approve - 38 participated).

(Submissions 046-11 to 050-11 Reserved)

(h) Submission for which the Equipment Committee, Events Committee and ISAF Classes Committee are the Reporting Committees

Submission 051-11

Decision

On a proposal from Jeff Martin, seconded by John Crebbin, Council approved submission 051-11 with the amendment of the references to the Regulations being corrected (0 reject, 0 abstain, 39 approve - 39 participated).

(i) Submission for which the Equipment Committee and the Events Committee are the reporting committees

Submission 052-11

The Constitution Committee has informed the Executive Committee and Council that the proposal in submission 052-11 is not in line with the procedure for selection of events and equipment for the Olympic Sailing Competition in the ISAF Regulations, in particular Regulation 23.1.3(c). Council is bound by the Regulations as they stand as per Article 43(c). The President informed Council that submission 052-11 is not in order and will not be considered by Council.

- (j) Submissions for which the Equipment Committee is the Reporting Committee
 - i) Submission 053-11

Decision

On a proposal to reject from Nazli Imre, seconded by Ajay Balram, Council rejected submission 053-11 (24 reject, 2 abstain, 12 approve - 38 participated).

ii) Submission 054-11

Decision

On a proposal to defer from Stig Hvide Smith, seconded by John Crebbin, Council deferred submission 054-11 to May 2012 (0 reject, 0 abstain, 38 approved - 38 participated).

Submission 055-11 to 093-11

There was no objection from Council to vote on submissions 055-11 to 093-11 en bloc, with the exception of submissions 056-11, 069-11 and 071-11 which were subject to a separate vote.

Decision

On a proposal to reject from Stig Hvide Smith, seconded by Pablo Masseroni, Council rejected submissions 064-11, 070-11, 075-11, 079-11, 080-11, 082-11, 084-11, 089-11 and 093-11 (36 reject, 0 abstain, 1 approve - 37 participated).

Decision

On a proposal from Kamen Fillyov, seconded by Chris Atkins, Council approved submissions 055-11, 057-11, 059-11, 060-11, 061-11, 062-11, 063-11, 065-11, 066-11, 067-11, 68-11, 072-11, 073-11, 074-11, 077-11, 078-11, 081-11, 083-11, 085-11, 086-11, 087-11, 088-11, 090-11, 091-11 and 092-11 (0 reject, 0 abstain, 38 approve - 38 participated).

iii) Submission 055-11

Decision

Approved

iv) Submission 056-11

Decision

On proposal from Stig Hvide Smith, seconded by Kamen Fillyov, Council deferred submission 056-11 to May 2012 (0 reject, 0 abstain, 37 approve - 37 participated.

v) Submission 057-11

Decision

Approved

vi) Submission 058-11

Council noted that submission 058-11 was withdrawn.

vii) Submission 059-11

Decision

Approved

viii) Submission 060-11

Decision

Approved

ix) Submission 061-11

Decision

Approved

x) Submission 062-11

Decision

Approved

xi) Submission 063-11

Decision

Approved

xii) Submission 064-11

Decision

Rejected

xiii) Submission 065-11

Decision

Approved

xiv) Submission 066-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

(a) MAST

A **spar** on which the **head** or **throat** of a **sail**, or a **yard**, is set. Includes its **standing rigging**, **running rigging**, **other rigging**, **spreaders**, fittings and any **corrector weights**, but not **running rigging** and fittings that are not essential to the function of the mast as part of the rig.

xv) Submission 067-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

(c) Hull Spars

A spar attached to the hull to take rigging loads.

xvi) Submission 068-11

Decision

Approved

xviii) Submission 069-11

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Pablo Masseroni, Council approved submission 069-11 with the following amendment (2 reject, 2 abstain, 33 approve - 37 participated):

- SHROUD
 Permanently-attached Rigging providing transverse support for a mast spar or hull spar which may also provide longitudinal support and is not detached when racing.
- (iii) FORESTAY
 <u>Permanently attached</u> Rigging providing forward support for a mast spar
 and is not detached when racing.

Council noted an enquiry from Jeff Martin enquiry if this amendment should also be included in the Offshore Special Regulations.

xix) Submission 070-11

Decision

Rejected

xx) Submission 071-11

Decision

On a proposal from Pablo Masseroni, seconded by Stig Hvide Smith, Council approved submission 071-11 (1 reject, 0 abstain, 37 approve - 38 participated).

xxi) Submission 072-11

Decision

Approved

xxii) Submission 073-11

Decision

Approved

xxiii) Submission 074-11

Decision

Approved

xxiv) Submission 075-11

Decision

Rejected

xxv) Submission 076-11

Council noted that submission 076-11 was withdrawn.

xxvi) Submission 077-11

Decision

Approved

xxvii) Submission 078-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

(i) DART

Overlap where an area cut out of one ply is joined. An overlap formed at a **sail edge** by overlapping joining the **ply** edges

of a cut out in the **body of the sail**.

xxviii) Submission 079-11

Decision

Rejected

xxix) Submission 080-11

Decision

Rejected

xxx) Submission 081-11

Decision

Approved

xxxi) Submission 082-11

Decision

Rejected

xxxii) Submission 083-11

Decision

Approved

xxxiii) Submission 084-11

Decision

Rejected

xxxiv) Submission 085-11

Decision

Approved

xxxv) Submission 086-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

G.6.4 Batten Pocket Patch

Secondary reinforcement at the inner end an end of a batten pocket.

xxxvi) Submission 087-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

(b) TABLING WIDTH: The width of **<u>a</u>** tabling measured at 90° to the sail edge. xxxvii) Submission 088-11

Decision

Approved

xxxviii) Submission 089-11

Decision

Rejected

xxxix) Submission 090-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

H.4.7 Mast centre of gravity height shall be checked with any halyards fully hoisted and rigging pulled taut and tied to the spar as close to the lower heel point as possible.

xl) Submission 091-11

Decision

Approved

xli) Submission 092-11

Decision

Approved

xlii) Submission 093-11

Decision

Rejected

(Submissions 094-11 to - 098-11 Reserved)

(k) Submissions for which the Events Committee and Executive Committee are the Reporting Committees

Council noted that Council Member Jeff Martin would abstain from voting on all submissions relating to Olympic equipment issues.

i) Submission 099-11

Decision

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Ross Robson, Council approved submission 099-11 (0 reject, 4 abstain, 33 approve - 37 participated.

ii) Submission 100-11

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Stig Hvide-Smith, Council approved submission 100-11 (0 reject, 3 abstain, 36 approve - 39 participated).

Council noted that the Constitution Committee shall finalise the wording and recommend a voting system.

iii) Submission 101-11

Decision

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Kurt Lonnqvist, Council deferred submission 101-11 to May 2012 (0 reject, 2 abstain, 37 approve).

- (I) Submissions for which the Events Committee is the Reporting Committee
 - i) Submission 102-11

Decision

On a proposal to reject from Chris Atkins, seconded by Ross Robson, Council rejected submission 102-11 (33 reject, 1 abstain, 5 approve).

ii) Submission 103-11

Decision

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Pablo Masseroni, Council approved submission 103-11 (12 reject, 2 abstain, 25 approve - 39 participated).

iii) Submission 104-11

Decision

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Pablo Masseroni, Council approved submission 104-11 (0 reject, 5 abstain, 34 approve - 39 participated).

iv) Submission 105-11

Decision

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Fiona Kidd, Council approved submission 105-11 (0 reject, 2 abstain, 36 approve - 38 participated).

v) Submissions 106-11 and 107-11

There was no objection to a single vote on submissions 106-11 and 107-11.

Decision

On a proposal to reject from Chris Atkins, seconded by Cory Sertl, Council rejected submissions 106-11 and 107-11 (30 reject, 5 abstain, 4 approve - 39 participated).

vi) Submission 108-11

Decision

On a proposal to reject from Chris Atkins, seconded by Tomasz Chamera, Council rejected submission 108-11 (33 reject, 3 abstain, 3 approve - 39 participated). vii) Submission 109-11

Decision

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Ross Robson, Council deferred submission 109-11 to May 2012 (2 reject, 0 abstain, 37 approve - 39 participated).

viii) Submission 110-11

Decision

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Peter Hall, Council approved submission 110-11 with the following amendment (0 reject, 1 abstain, 36 approve - 37 participated):

- 27.1.1 Criteria applying to all grades of events
 - (c) In principle the event shall be sailed using a <u>The Notice of Race</u><u>shall be published at least 6 months before the event. The</u> Notice of Race and the Sailing Instructions <u>shall be in line comply</u> with the Notice of Race Guide and the Sailing Instructions Guide in the *Racing Rules of Sailing* and the event should <u>shall</u> be organised <u>according to</u> in accordance with <u>the principles laid out in</u> the ISAF Race Management <u>Policies Manual</u>.

27.1.6 200-Point Events

Sailing World Cup events Olympic Class World Championships

ISAF Sailing World Championships

Additional Criteria

- (a) The event shall take place either within the host continent's window, or in the free window, in the ISAF calendar;
- (b) An International Jury shall be appointed and the Chairman shall be an International Judge, not from the host country;
- (b) At least Three races are required to be completed to constitute a series. shall be completed in the series;
- (c) The event shall have a media plan; a well equipped press office should be available for the international press, and tracking and TV coverage should be included;
- (c) Bow numbers and seeding, if used, shall reflect competitors" positions on the ISAF Sailing World Rankings.

27.1.7 100-Point Events

Olympic Class World Championships (when non-compliant with 200-point criteria) Olympic Class Continental Championships (other than those run <u>in-conjunction</u><u>with as part of</u> a 200-point event)

Other major international Olympic Class events using Olympic equipment

Additional Criteria

(d) <u>At least</u> Three races are required to be completed to constitute <u>a series</u>. shall be completed in the series;

27.1.8 50-Point Events

Olympic Class Continental Championships (when non-compliant with 100-point criteria)

Other international <u>Olympic Class events events using Olympic equipment</u> (when not 100-point Events)

ix) Submissions 111-11, 112-11, 113-11

There was no objection to a single vote on submissions 111-11, 112-11 and 113-11.

Decision

On a proposal to reject from Chris Atkins, seconded by Ralph Roberts, Council rejected submissions 111-11, 112-11 and 113-111 (34 reject, 1 abstain, 3 approve - 38 participated).

Council noted that although submission 112-11 was rejected the proposals were dealt with separately under item 15(f)(vi) Recommendations not based on submissions from the Events Committee exactly as proposed under item 15 (I) Recommendations not based on submissions from the Regional Games Committee.

(Submissions 114-11 to 118-11 Reserved)

- (m) Submission for which the Match Racing Committee and the Race Officials Committee are the Reporting Committees
 - i) Submission 119-11

Decision

On a proposal from Ross Robson, seconded by Ajay Balram, Council approved submission 119-11 with the following amendment (0 reject, 0 abstain, 38 approve - 38 participated):

27.2.3 Grading of Events

c) Grade 3 - Open and Women

Satisfies the criteria for a Grade 4 event and: -The boats shall be keel boats and have a minimum 5.9 m LOA

- (n) Submission for which the Match Racing Committee is the Reporting Committee
 - i) Submission 120-11

Decision

On a proposal from Ajay Balram, seconded by Kurt Lonnqvist, Council approved submission 120-11 (0 reject, 0 abstain, 37 approve - 37 participated).

Council noted that a comment was made regarding the use of the term "skipper".

(Submissions 121-11 to 125-11 Reserved)

(o) Submission for which the Oceanic and Offshore Committee is the Reporting Committee

i) Submissions 126-11

Decision

On a proposal from Jacques Lehn, seconded by Abraham Rosemberg, Council approved submission 126-11 with the following amendment (0 reject, 0 abstain, 38 approve - 38 participated):

```
<u>30.2.1 Changes in the Special Regulations shall take effect only once every</u>
<u>two years on 1 January of an even year, except as provided in</u>
<u>Regulation 30.1.2.</u> No changes to Special Regulations shall, after 31
December 2009, become effective until 2012 except as provided in
<u>Regulation 30.1.2</u>
```

(Submissions 127-11 to 131-11 Reserved)

- (p) Submissions for which the Race Officials Committee is the Reporting Committee
 - i) Submission 132-11

Council noted that submission 132-11 was withdrawn.

ii) Submission 133-11

Decision

On a proposal to reject from Charley Cook, seconded by Kurt Lonnqvist, Council rejected submission 133-11 (18 reject, 3 abstain, 16 approve - 37 participated).

Council noted that, in accordance with Regulation 3.2.4, Council member Charley Cook requested the results of the voting on submission 133-11 (Appendix 2).

iii) Submission 134-11

Decision

On a proposal from Charley Cook, seconded by Tomasz Chamera, Council approved submission 134-11 (5 reject, 1 abstain, 32 approve - 38 participated).

(Submissions 135-11 to 139-11 Reserved)

- (q) Submissions for which the Racing Rules Committee is the Reporting Committee
 - i) Submissions 140-11 to 271-11

Decision

On a proposal from David Tillett, seconded by Stig Hvide-Smith, Council agreed that the decisions on submissions 140-11 through 271-11 would be made in accordance with the recommendations from the Racing Rules Committee with the exception of submissions 157-11, 175-11, 219-11 and 259-11 which were subject to a separate vote (0 reject, 0 abstain, 38 approve - 38 participated).

ii) Submission 140-11

Decision

Rejected.

iii) Submission 141-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Edit first part of the proposal as follows:

Make "Basic Principle" plural and add first sentence of proposed rule 6 as a second principle

ENVIRONMENTAL RESPONSIBILITY

<u>Participants are encouraged to minimize any adverse environmental impact of the sport of sailing.</u>

Approve the second part of the proposal, moved to be in Part 4 and to read:

Add second sentence to Preamble to Part 4:

Part 4 rules apply only to boats racing. However, rule 55 applies at all times when boats are on the water.

Add new rule:

55 TRASH DISPOSAL

A competitor shall not intentionally put trash in the water

Consequential change: Delete first sentence of instruction L24

iv) Submission 142-11

Decision

Approved

v) Submission 143-11

Decision

Approved

vi) Submission 144-11

Decision

Approved

vii) Submission 145-11

Decision

Approved

viii) Submission 146-11

Decision

Approved

ix) Submission 147-11-11

Approved with the following amendment:

Edit title of rule 20.3 to read "Passing On a Hail to an Additional Boat"

x) Submission 148-11

Decision

Approved

xi) Submission 149-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

21 Exoneration

When a boat is sailing within the *room* or *mark-room* to which she is entitled under a rule of Section C, she shall be exonerated if, in an incident with a boat required to give her that *room* or *mark-room*,

- (a) she breaks a rule of Section A, rule 15 or rule 16, or
- (b) she is compelled to break rule 31
- xii) Submission 150-11

Decision

Rejected

xiii) Submission 151-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Proposal 1: delete "already"

Proposal 2: Approve

Proposal 3: Reject

Proposal 4: Approve with edit to first sentence of rule 28.1 to read:

"A boat shall start, sail the course described in the sailing instructions and then finish"

Proposal 5: Reject

Proposal 6: Reject

xiv) Submission 152-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

"until all such boats have sailed completely to the pre-start side"

xv) Submission 153-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Relocate to Appendix L.

xvi) Submission 154-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Relocate to Appendix L. Edit to read "she should report her finishing time..."

xvii) Submission 155-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Edit to place new words in rule 64.1(c). See submission 174-11

xviii) Submission 156-11

Decision

Rejected

xix) Submission 157-11

Decision

On a proposal from David Tillett, seconded by Pablo Masseroni, Council approved submission 157-11 with the following amendment (0 reject, 0 abstain, 38 approve - 38 participated):

However, if a boat gains a significant advantage in the race from help received under rule 41(a), she may be protested and <u>a discretionary penalty may be</u> <u>imposed</u>-penalised; any penalty may be less than disqualification.

xx) Submission 158-11

Decision

Approved

xxi) Submission 159

Decision

Rejected

xxii) Submission 160-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

42.3 Exceptions

(e) If a batten is inverted, the boat's crew may pump the sail until the batten is no longer inverted. This is not permitted if it clearly propels the boat.

xxiii) Submission 161-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Delete "side".

xxiv) Submission 162-111

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

48 FOG SIGNALS AND LIGHTS: TRAFFIC SEPARATION SCHEMES

48.1 When safety requires, a boat shall sound fog signals and show lights as required by the *International Regulations for Preventing Collisions at Sea* (IRPCAS) or applicable government rules.

48.2 <u>A boat shall comply with rule 10, Traffic Separation Schemes, of the</u> <u>IRPCAS.</u>

xxv) Submission 163-11

Decision

Rejected

xxvi) Submission 164-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Reinsert "they shall be taut, and"

Final sentence to read

If the class rules do not specify the material or minimum diameter of lifelines, they shall comply with the corresponding specifications in the ISAF Offshore Special Regulations.

Notes; Retain note regarding ISAF Offshore Special Regulations. RRC Working Party to determine any grand-fathering with the Chairman of the Oceanic and Offshore Committee.

xxvii) Submission 165-11

Decision

Approved

xxviii) Submission 166-11

Approved with the following amendment:

Proposal 2:

For the purposes of <u>rule 50 and 54 and Appendix G</u>, the difference between....

xxix) Submission 167-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

52 MANUAL POWER

A boat's standing rigging, running rigging, spars and movable hull appendages shall be adjusted and operated only by **the power provided by the crew.**

xxx) Submission 168-11

Decision

Approved

xxxi) Submission 169-11

Decision

Rejected.

Undesirable.

xxxii) Submission 170-11

Decision

Approved

xxxiii) Submission 171-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

If a race committee or protest committee intends to protest a boat concerning an incident the committee observed in the racing area, it shall inform her after the race within the time limit of rule 61.3. In other cases the committee shall inform the boat of its intent to protest as soon as reasonably possible.

xxxiv) Submission 172-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Change "grounds" to "reasons"

xxxv) Submission 173-11

Decision

Approve with the following amendment:

Both proposals, combined and edited to read:

The protest committee shall take the evidence of the *parties* **present at** the hearing and of their witnesses and other evidence it considers necessary. A member of the protest committee who saw the incident <u>shall, while the parties are present, state</u> <u>that fact and</u> may give evidence. A *party* <u>present at</u> the hearing may question any person who gives evidence. The committee shall then find the facts and base its decision on them.

xxxvi) Submission 174-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

64.1 (b) If a boat has taken an applicable penalty, she shall not be further penalized **<u>under this rule</u>** unless the penalty for a *rule* she broke is a disqualification that is not excludable from her series score.

add new paragraph

<u>64.1(c) If the race is restarted or resailed, rule 36 applies.</u>

xxxvii) Submission 175-11

Decision

On a proposal from David Tillett, seconded by Charley Cook, Council approved submission 175-11 with the following amendment (0 reject, 2 abstain, 36 approve):

69.1 Obligation not to Commit Gross Misconduct

(a) <u>A competitor or boat owner shall not commit gross misconduct,</u> including a gross breach of a *rule*, good manners or sportsmanship or conduct bringing the sport into disrepute. For the purposes of rule 69, a competitor includes a person in charge, a boat owner and any other person who has agreed to be governed by the *rules*.

Proposal 2

69.2^{**1**} Action by a Protest Committee

<u>The prescriptions of a national authority may, with the approval consent of the ISAF, amend the standard of proof in this rule.</u>

xxxviii) Submission 176-11

Decision

Deferred.

Note: The Racing Rules Committee is sympathetic to the proposal and request the Executive Committee to consider resources required to implement the proposal.

xxxix) Submission 177-11

Decision

Approved

xl) Submission 178-11

Decision

Approved

xli) Submission 179-11

Decision

Rejected

xlii) Submission 180-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Include in Appendix LE scores for Bonus Points System from existing rule A4.1

xliii) Submission 181-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

(c)When the race committee determines from its own records or
observations that it has scored a boat incorrectly, it shall correct the
error and make the corrected scores available to competitors.

xliv) Submission 182-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Proposal on rule 91 approved. Proposal on rule N2 rejected.

xlv) Submission 183-11

Decision

Rejected

xlvi) Submission 184-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Reject change to description of DPI. See submission 184-10.

xlvii) Submission 185-11

Decision

Rejected

Use sailing instructions when necessary.

xlviii) Submission 186-11

Rejected

Arbitration not used in the RRS.

xlix) Submission 187-11

Decision

Approve with the following amendment:

Reject change to rule A11. DPI abbreviation was accepted in submission 184-10. Approve deletion of last sentence of rule L16.6.

I) Submission 188-11

Council noted that submission 188-11 was withdrawn.

li) Submission 189-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

RRWP to edit to make appendix conform to the RRS.

lii) Submission 190-11

Decision Approved

liii) Submission 191-11

Decision

Approved

liv) Submission 192-11

Decision

Approved

lv) Submission 193-11

Decision

Approved

lvi) Submission 194-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

"the umpires may disregard the flag Y."

Ivii) Submission 195-11

Decision

Rejected

Iviii) Submission 196-11

Approved

lix) Submission 197-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

- **C10.3** When a single round robin is terminated before completion, or a multiple round robin is terminated during the first round robin, the score shall be determined as the average points scored per match sailed by each competitor, unless one or more competitors have completed less than one third of the scheduled matches when the entire round robin shall be disregarded and if necessary the event declared void. For the purposes of tie-breaking in C11.1(a), the highest score shall be determined as the average points scored per match amongst the tied competitors.
- lxi) Submission 198-11

Decision

Approved

Appendix to be published only on the ISAF web site.

Ixii) Submission 199-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

RRWP to edit Appendix D (see Appendix 3 to these minutes).

Ixiii) Submission 200-11

Decision

Rejected

Accept principle that the rules should try to provide a penalty that can be given at the time of the incident. This is only one of several options that should be trialled before the rule is changed.

Ixiv) Submission 201-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

RRWP to edit Appendix E (see appendix 4 attached to these minutes).

Ixv) Submission 202-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Change "boat" to "competitor".

Ixvi) Submissions 203-11 and 204-11

Council noted that submission 203-11 and 204-11 were withdrawn

Ixvii) Submission 205-11

Approved with the following amendment:

Edited to include 206-11 and to read:

F2 SUBMISSION OF DOCUMENTS

- **F2.1** (a) No later than 15 days after receiving the protest committee's written decision or its decision not to reopen a hearing, the appellant shall send an appeal and a copy of the protest committee's decision to the national authority. The appeal shall state why the appellant believes the protest committee's decision or its procedures were incorrect.
 - (b) When the hearing required by rule 63.1 has not been held within 30 days after a *protest* or request for redress was delivered, the appellant shall within a further 15 days send an appeal with a copy of the *protest* or request and any relevant correspondence. The national authority shall extend the time if there is good reason to do
 - (c) When the protest committee fails to comply with rule 65, the appellant shall, within a reasonable time after the hearing, send an appeal with a copy of the *protest* or request and any relevant correspondence.
 - (d) If a copy of the *protest* or request is not available, the appellant shall send a statement of its substance.

Ixviii) Submission 206-11

Decision

Approved

Ixix) Submission 207-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

F4 COMMENTS AND CLARIFICATIONS

(b) <u>The national authority may seek clarifications of rules governing</u> the event from organisations that are not *parties* to the hearing."

lxx) Submission 208-11

Decision

Rejected

Abbreviations LP and J are not defined.

Ixxi) Submission 209-11

Decision

Rejected in favour of 210-11

Ixxii) Submission 210-11

Decision

Approved

Ixxiii) Submission 211-11

Decision

Rejected in favour of 210-11.

Ixxiv) Submission 212-11

Decision

Rejected in favour of 210-11

Ixxv) Submission 213-11

Decision

Rejected in favour of 210-11

Ixxvi) Submission 214-11

Decision

Approved

Ixxvii) Submission 215-11

Decision

Rejected

Approve principle, improved wording required.

Ixxviii) Submission 216-11

Decision

Rejected

Approve principle; RRWP to work with ROC to produce a web site based facility. The files (including those posted) must be in a format that is easily downloaded and that can be used in any standard software used for creating SIs. It must also be possible to edit the files.

Ixxix) Submission 217-11

Decision

Approved

Ixxx) Submission 218-11

Decision

Approved

Ixxxi) Submission 219-11

Decision

On a proposal to reject from David Tillett, seconded by Charley Cook, Council rejected submission 219-11 (37 reject, 0 abstain, 1 approve - 38 participated). Ixxxii) Submission 220-11

Council noted that submission 220-11 was withdrawn.

Ixxxiii) Submission 221-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

RRWP to edit to include changes proposed in submissions 224-11, 229-11, 230-11, 235-11 and 241-11 and to conform to the RRS.

Ixxxiv) Submission 222-11

Decision

Rejected

lxxxv) Submission 223-11

Decision

Rejected

Ixxxvi) Submission 224-11

Decision

Approve with the following amendment:

Mark-Room for a kiteboard is *room* to sail her *proper course* to round or pass the *mark*. However, *mark-room* does not include *room* to tack unless the kiteboard is *overlapped* to *windward* and on the inside of the boat required to give *mark-room*.

Ixxxvii) Submission 225-11

Council noted that submissions 225-11 and 226-11 were withdrawn.

Ixxxviii) Submission 227-11

Decision

Approved

Ixxxix) Submission 228-11

Council noted that submission 228-11 was withdrawn.

xc) Submission 229-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

16 CHANGING COURSE OR KITE POSITION

- **16.1** When a right-of-way kiteboard changes course or the position of her kite, she shall give the other kiteboard *room* to *keep clear*.
- **16.2** In addition, when after the starting signal a *port-tack* kiteboard is *keeping clear* by sailing to pass astern of a *starboard-tack* kiteboard, the *starboard-tack* kiteboard shall not change course or the position of her kite if as a result

the *port-tack* kiteboard would immediately need to change course or the position of her kite to continue *keeping clear*

xci) Submission 230-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

13.2 When sailing downwind, if one kiteboard is *looping* her kite or is changing *tack* and another is not, the kiteboard that is *looping* her kite or the kiteboard that is changing *tack*, until she is moving on the new *tack*, shall *keep clear* of the one that is not. During that time rules 10, 11 and 12 do not apply. If two kiteboards are *looping* their kites or changing *tack* at the same time, then the kiteboard required to *keep clear* under rule 10, 11 or 12 shall do so.

xcii) Submissions 231-11, 232-11, 233-11 and 234-11

Council noted that Submissions 231-11, 232-11, 233-11 and 234-11 were withdrawn.

xciii) Submission 235-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Add new rules 21.3 and 21.4:

- **21.3** During the last minute before her starting signal, a kiteboard that significantly slows down or stops, or one that is not making material forward progress, shall *keep clear* of all others unless she is accidentally *capsized*.
- **21.4** A kiteboard that is *jumping* shall keep clear of a kiteboard that is not. If two kiteboards are *jumping* at the same time, then the kiteboard required to *keep clear* under rule 10, 11 or 12 shall do so
- xciv) Submissions 236-11, 237-11, 238-11, 239-11 and 240-11

Council noted that Submissions 236-11, 237-11, 238-11, 239-11 and 240-11 were withdrawn.

xcv) Submission 241-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

PROPOSAL 2 WITHDRAWN

Proposals 1, 4 and 5: Reject

Proposal 3 Approve with the following amendment:

For a race of an elimination series, rule 63.6 is deleted and replaced by:

63.6 Taking Evidence, Finding Facts and Communicating a Decision

The protest committee may take evidence to find the facts in any way it considers appropriate and may communicate its decision orally.

xcvi) Submission 242-11

Council noted that submission 242-11 was withdrawn.

xcvii) Submission 243-11

Decision

Rejected

xcviii) Submissions 244-11, 245-11, 246-11, 247-11 and 248-11

Council noted that submissions 244-11, 245-11, 246-11, 247-11 and 248-11 were withdrawn.

xcix) Submission 249-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Proposal 1: Reject.

Proposal 2: Approve

c) Submission 250-11

Decision

Council noted that submission 250-11 was withdrawn.

ci) Submission 251-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Proposal 1: Reject. Q&A E4 is being withdrawn

Proposal 2: Approve to read <u>"from the course side"</u>

Proposal 3: Approve

Proposal 4: Approve

cii) Submission 252-11

Decision

Rejected

Unnecessary.

ciii) Submission 253-11

Decision

Approved

civ) Submission 254-11

Decision

Rejected

cv) Submission 255-11

Decision

Approved

cvi) Submission 256-11

Decision

Approved

This is integral with submission 144-11.

cvii) Submission 257-11

Council noted that submission 257-1 was withdrawn.

cviii) Submission 258-11

Decision

On a proposal to reject from David Tillett, seconded by Charley Cook, Council rejected proposal one of submission 258-11 (33 reject, 0 abstain, 5 approve - 38 participated):

cix) Submission 259-11

Decision

On a proposal to reject from David Tillett, seconded by Chris Atkins, Council approved submission 259-11 (0 reject, 0 abstain, 38 approve - 38 participated).

Council noted that as a result of the decisions on submissions 258-11 and 259-11 the Q&A for Case 78 was withdrawn and deleted from the website.

cx) Submission 260-11

Decision

Approved

cxi) Submission 261-11

Decision

Rejected

cxii) Submission 262-11

Decision

Approved

Note. Case Book WP to edit.

cxiii) Submission 263-11

Decision

Approved

Call to be published as Rapid Response Call during 2012. cxiv) Submission 264-11

Decision

Approved

cxv) Submission 265-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Answer 2 to read: "at the approximate place where the crew fell overboard." cxvi) Submission 266-11

Decision

Approved

cxvii) Submission 267-11

Decision

Approved

cxviii) Submission268-11

Decision

Approved

cxix) Submission 269-11

Decision

Approved

cxx) Late Submission 270-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

Amend rule 62.1 and the definition Party:

"... organizing authority, equipment inspection committee or measurement committee for an event..."

cxxi) Late Submission 271-11

Decision

Approved with the following amendment:

"identify the reason for the request, and be delivered" (Submissions 272-11 to 276-11 Reserved)

15. Recommendations

(a) Recommendations not based on submissions from the Executive Committee

There were no recommendations not based on submissions from the Executive Committee.

- (b) Recommendations not based on submissions from the Audit Committee
 There were no recommendations not based on submissions from the Audit Committee.
- (c) Recommendations not based on submissions from the Constitution Committee

There were no recommendations not based on submissions from the Constitution Committee.

(d) Recommendations not based on submissions from the Development and Youth Committee

There were no recommendations not based on submissions from the Development and Youth Committee.

- (e) Recommendations not based on submissions from the Equipment Committee
 - i) Equipment Committee Agenda item 4(a) Application for ISAF International Status - RS: One Class

Decision

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Ross Robson, Council approved the application for ISAF International Status from the RS: One Class subject to the class signing the ISAF Class agreement and providing a satisfactory building specification (0 reject, 1 abstain, 37 approve - 38 participated).

ii) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No.5(a) - Application for ISAF Recognised Status - Elliott 6m

Decision

Council noted that the application for ISAF Recognised Status from the Elliott 6m class does not yet meet requirements in accordance with Regulation 10 and is deferred for consideration in May 2012.

iii) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No.5(b) - Application for ISAF Recognised Status - RS 100

Decision

Council noted that the application for ISAF Recognised Status from the RS 100 class does not yet meet requirements in accordance with Regulation 10 and is deferred for consideration in May 2012.

iv) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No.5(c) - Application for ISAF Recognised Status - Soto 40

Decision

On a proposal from Charley Cook, seconded by Stig Hvide Smith, Council approved the application for Recognised Status from the Soto 40 Class subject to satisfactory resolution of class rules issues by May 2012 (1 reject, 4 abstain, 33 approve - 38 participated).

Council noted that status would be withdrawn if the class rules issues were not resolved by the agreed deadline.

v) Equipment Committee Agenda Item No.6 - 2016 Olympic Equipment Evaluation

Decision 1

On a proposal from Charley Cook, seconded by Stig-Hvide Smith, the Equipment Committee were asked to do everything reasonable to provide Council with a report in sufficient time on the equipment evaluation event. The Executive Committee will prepare for consideration by Council in May, submissions to permit Council, if appropriate, to select the equipment for the Women's Skiff event in May 2012 (1 reject, 2 abstain, 35 approve - 38 participated).

Decision 2

A friendly amendment proposed by David Tillett, seconded by Ross Robson was accepted to not single out the Women's Skiff event, but to apply this principle to all events for which equipment decisions for the 2016 Games, are still to be made (38 participated).

(f) Recommendations not based on submissions from the Events Committee

Council noted the recommendations not based on submissions from the Events Committee.

i) Events Committee Agenda Item 6(a) - Youth Worlds Strategy

Decision

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by John Crebbin, Council approved the following equipment for the 2014 ISAF Youth Sailing World Championship (1 reject, 4 abstain, 33 approve - 38 participated):

Boy's One Person Dinghy – Laser Radial

Girl's One Person Dinghy – Laser Radial

Boy's Two Person Dinghy – 420

Girl's Two Person Dinghy – 420

Boy's Windsurfer – RS:X with 8.5m² sail

Girl's Windsurfer – RS:X with 8.5m² sail

Open Multihull – SL16

Open High Performance Dinghy – 29er

ii) Events Committee Agenda Item No. 6(a) - 2014 ISAF Youth Sailing World Championship

Decision 1

On proposal to defer from Walter Cavalucci, seconded by Abraham Rosemberg, Council rejected the motion to defer to May 2012 the recommendation from the Events Committee for Langkawi, Kedah, Malaysia to host the 2015 ISAF Youth Sailing World Championships to be held from 27 December 2015 – 06 January 2016 to May 2012 (21 reject, 0 abstain, 17 approve - 38 participated).

Decision 2

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by John Crebbin, Council approved the recommendation from the Events Committee for Langkawi, Kedah, Malaysia to host the 2015 ISAF Youth Sailing World Championships to be held from 27 December 2015 – 06 January 2016 to May 2012 subject to a successful site visit and satisfactory contractual arrangements and on the basis that all ISAF MNAs are able to participate in the event (5 reject, 1 abstain, 32 approve - 38 participated).

iii) Events Committee Agenda Item 8 - 2012 Olympic Sailing Competition

Decision

On proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Kurt Lonnqvist, Council approved the following recommendations from the Events Committee (1 reject, 0 abstain, 36 approve - 37 participated):

1) ISAF retains flexibility to move fleets during days when conditions warrant but that any moves should be kept to a minimum.

2) The Star Class should not race on the Nothe Course except for the Medal Race.

	Current		Proposed		
	Fleet	Medal	Fleet	Nothe	Medal
Star	75	30	60-75	N/A	30
Finn	75	30	60	45-60	30
Laser/Radial	60	30	60	45-60	30
49er	30	30	30	30	30
RS:X	30	20	30	30	20
470	60	30	60	45-60	30

3) The Target Time should be modified as per the table:

4) The following course diagrams should be considered:

- a) a starboard rounding of the final windward mark with a reach to the finish.
- b) a longer first leg and then the provision to move the leeward gate up the course.
- c) a windward finish.
- iv) Events Committee Agenda Item No. 9 2016 Olympic Sailing Competition

Decision

On a proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Pablo Masseroni, Council approved the recommendation from the Events Committee that a small and specialist evaluation team be appointed to look at the format and event management implications for kiteboarding (1 reject, 1 abstain, 35 approve -37 participated). v) Events Committee Agenda Item 11 - Age Bands Strategy for Olympic Pathway

Decision

On proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Pablo Masseroni, Council approved the recommendation from the Events Committee regarding age bands strategy for Olympic Pathway that, Subject to agreements at their respective AGMs, all Olympic Classes agree to hold World Championships (for Olympic Equipment) in a maximum of the following categories (not including masters categories)(0 reject, 3 abstain, 34 approve - 37 participated):

Class Worlds – open age

U 19 (Youth) - not have attained the age of 19 in the year of the Championships

U 22 Class Worlds – not have attained the age of 22 in the year of the Championships

vi) Events Committee Committee Agenda Item 19 - Other Submissions

Decision

On proposal from Chris Atkins, seconded by Ralph Roberts, Council approved the recommendation from the Events Committee that ISAF and the Regional Games Committee work with the Oceania Sailing Federation (OSAF) to promote sailing in the member countries of OSAF; to encourage the adoption of guidelines and standards for sailing events that comply with the Regulations of ISAF and in particular:Regulation 25.17 (Regional Games); to develop an MOU with the Pacific Games Council that facilitates the development of the sailing event in the Pacific Games and the Pacific Mini Games as one of the Regional Games of ISAF (0 reject, 1 abstain, 35 approve - 36 participated).

(g) Recommendations not based on submissions from the ISAF Classes Committee

Council noted the recommendation not based on submissions from the ISAF Classes Committee.

- (h) Recommendations not based on submissions from the Match Racing Committee
 - i) Match Racing Committee Agenda Item 5(a)

Decision

On proposal from Pablo Masseroni, seconded by Jane Moon, Council approved the recommendation from the Match Racing Committee to confirm Busan, Korea as the host of the 2013 ISAF Women's Match Racing World Championship, subject to a successful site visit and satisfactory contractual arrangements (0 reject, 0 abstain, 37 approve - 37 participated).

(i) Recommendations not based on submissions from the Oceanic and Offshore Committee

Council noted the recommendations not based on submissions from the Oceanic and Offshore Committee.

(j) Recommendations not based on submissions from Race Officials Committee

Council noted the recommendations not based on submissions from the Race Officials Committee.

(k) Recommendations from the Racing Rules Committee

There were no recommendations not based on submissions from the Racing Rules Committee.

(I) Recommendations not based on submissions from the Regional Games Committee

The recommendations not based on submissions from the Regional Games Committee were considered by Council under item 15(f)(viii).

(m) Recommendations not based on submissions from the Windsurfing and Kiteboarding Committee

Council noted the recommendations not based on submissions from the Windsurfing and Kiteboarding Committee.

(n) Recommendations not based on submissions from the Women's Forum

Council noted the recommendations not based on submissions from the Women's Forum.

16. Future ISAF Meetings

- (a) 2012 ISAF Mid-Year Meeting Stresa, Italy 3-6 May
- (b) 2012 ISAF Annual Conference Dun Laoghaire, Ireland 1-11 November

17. Any Other Business

(a) Elections 2012

Council noted a request that candidates for the position of President and Vice-Presidents have a platform to share their visions for the future.

(b) On behalf of the International Sailing Federation, the President expressed thanks to the Puerto Rice Sailing Federation for their generous hospitality throughout the Conference and presented gifts of appreciation to the President, Raul Rios, Secretary, Mercedes de Choudens and Suzanne LaPlacette.

There being no further business the meeting closed at 15:30 hours.

Circulation: Council, ISAF Secretariat

	ACTUAL 12 MONTHS 31/12/10	2011 BUDGET	2012 DRAFT BUDGET
	£	£	£
Income			
Normal Income	1,483,663	896,000	1,281,000
TOTAL Income	1,483,663	896,000	1,281,000
Expenditure			
Direct costs	1,378,514	1,142,000	1,357,000
Olympics	203,373	199,000	389,000
Administration	1,054,611	1,087,000	1,155,000
TOTAL Expenditure	2,636,498	2,428,000	2,901,000
Operational Deficit	(1,152,835)	(1,532,000)	(1,620,000)

INCOME	ACTUAL 12 MONTHS 31/12/10	2011 BUDGET	2012 DRAFT BUDGET
	£	£	£
MNA and Associate Member Subscriptions	206,781	200,000	210,000
Affiliated Member Subscriptions	2,044	2,000	2,000
ICA Subscriptions	15,527	15,000	
New Class Application Fees	3,300	3,000	3,000
Licensed Builder Fees	5,305	15,000	12,000
In House Certification	14,741	10,000	10,000
Fees on New Boats Built	264,466	190,000	190,000
Olympic Solidarity	45,881	5,000	5,000
Publications	1,716	4,000	4,000
Technical specifications	3,677	5,000	5,000
ISAF Merchandise	5,493	10,000	7,000
ISAF Race Officials Clothing	0	5,000	0
Umpire and Judges Payments	273,659	60,000	330,000
Special Events & Approval Fees	198,051	150,000	300,000
Special Events Anti Doping	0	0	50,000
Sponsorship	175,937	100,000	100,000
ITO Travel Youth Olympics	73,374	0	0
World Sailor of the Year	12,616	0	0
Television Production and Distribution	122,004	91,000	0
Copyright Fees	523	0	1,000
Advertising Income	6,200	0	5,000
Technical Services Income	9,088	5,000	5,000
IOC Development Programme	39,705	25,000	25,000
ISAF Recognised Training Programme	1,468	1,000	1,000
Other Income Received	2,107	0	0
TOTAL INCOME	1,483,663	896,000	1,281,000

EXPENDITURE	ACTUAL 12 MONTHS 31/12/10	2011 BUDGET	2012 DRAFT BUDGET
	£	£	£
Direct costs			
ISAF Meetings	158,864	180,000	185,000
Executive Committee Meetings	61,755	50,000	50,000
ISAF Review Board	7,496	5,000	
Executive Expenses	23,888	35,000	35,000
Committees' Activities	57,259	55,000	65,000
Sailor Classification	0	50,000	50,000
World Cup - World Cup Marketing	45,137	48,000	125,000
Race Officials Programme	71,411	120,000	70,000
Athletes Participation Programme	14,236	17,000	17,000
Umpires/Judges Payments	313,098	60,000	330,000
ISAF Events	99,871	25,000	25,000
Special Events Anti Doping	8,999	0	50,000
World Sailor of the Year	17,390	0	0
Marketing/Media & Awards Presentations	10,653	30,000	30,000
Connect to Sailing	31,021	30,000	30,000
Website & IT Development	47,714	40,000	40,000
Insurance	47,490	50,000	50,000
Building Fees - Class & Designer Share	51,086	50,000	50,000
Plaques Purchased	6,204	5,000	6,000
Technical Specifications/In House Certification	7,242	8,000	8,000
Olympic Solidarity/ISAF Training	72,554	25,000	10,000
ISAF Goods	8,087	10,000	6,000
Race Officials Clothing	0	25,000	10,000
Publications	5,946	10,000	10,000
International subscription fees	3,874	5,000	5,000
Bad debts	(8,573)	5,000	5,000
Legal Fees Sport	22,291	20,000	25,000
Television Production and Distribution	158,004	139,000	30,000
ISAF Recognised Training Programme	2,044	20,000	10,000
IOC Development Programme	33,473	25,000	25,000
TOTAL Direct costs	,		1,357,000

<u>EXPENDITURE</u>		ACTUAL 12 MONTHS 31/12/10	2011 BUDGET	2012 DRAFT BUDGET
		£	£	£
<u>Olympics</u>				
Staff Salaries		75,000	110,000	150,000
IOC Meetings		8,579	25,000	25,000
Olympic 2012		9,082	10,000	150,000
Youth Olympics 2010		65,644	4,000	4,000
Anti Doping Program		45,068	50,000	60,000
	TOTAL Olympics	203,373	199,000	389,000

EXPENDITURE	ACTUAL 12 MONTHS 31/12/10	2011 BUDGET	2012 DRAFT BUDGET
	£	£	£
Administration			
Salaries	593,616	610,000	650,000
Employment costs	147,569	170,000	180,000
Other staff costs	7,877	10,000	10,000
Office rent & rates	123,768	125,000	130,000
Office running costs (inc. heat, light & power)	25,581	27,000	30,000
Equipment rental & renewals	23,467	25,000	25,000
Travelling	4,897	5,000	5,000
Telecommunications	11,808	12,000	12,000
Printing & stationery	11,398	10,000	10,000
Postage	12,568	20,000	20,000
Legal & professional fees	35	10,000	20,000
Audit & accountancy fees	24,235	28,000	28,000
Bank charges, interest & currency fluctuations	55,507	20,000	20,000
Depreciation	12,285	15,000	15,000
TOTAL Administration	1,054,611	1,087,000	1,155,000

	Q 58		
Submission Number	133-11		
	Rejected		
Time Stamp	12/11/2011,16:01:40		
Given votes	37		
Valid votes	37		
No	18		
Abstain	3		
Yes	16		
David Irish	Abstain		
Teo Ping Low	No		
Teresa Lara	0		
Tomasz Holc	No		
Alberto Predieri	No		
Eric Tulla	No		
Nazli Imre	No		
Chris Atkins - Group A	No		
John Crebbin - Group A	No		
Rolf Bähr - Group B	Yes		
Tatjana Antoncic - Group B	No		
Kamen Fillyov - Group C	0		
Tomasz Chamera - Group C	Yes		
Jean Pierre Champion - Group D	No		
Walter Cavallucci - Group D	No		
George Andreadis - Group D	Yes		
Gerardo Pombo - Group E	No		
Patrick Bergmans - Group F	Yes		
Kurt Lönnqvist - Group G	Yes		
Stig Hvide Smith - Group G	Yes		
Oleg Ilyin - Group H	Yes		
Khalifa M Al-Sewaidi - Group I	Yes		
Quanhai Li - Group J	No		
Takao Otani - Group J	Yes		
Ajay Balram - Group K	Yes		
Ralph Roberts - Group L	No		
David Tillett - Group L	No		
Pablo Masseroni - Group M	Yes		
Abraham Rosemberg - Group N	Yes		
Jane Moon - Group O	Abstain		
Raul Rios - Group O	Abstain		
Charley Cook - Group P	Yes		
Cory Sertl - Group P	Yes		
David Covo - Group P	No		
Ross Robson - Group Q	No		
Jeff Martin - ISAF Classes Rep	No		
Jacques Lehn - Offshore Rep	No		
Fiona Kidd - Women's Rep	Yes		
Ben Barger - Athletes' Commission	Yes		

Council Minutes - November 2011 - Appendix 3

APPENDIX D

TEAM RACING RULES

Team races shall be sailed under The Racing Rules of Sailing as changed by this appendix.

D1 CHANGES TO THE RACING RULES

D1.1 Changes to the Definitions and the Rules of Part 2 and Part 4

- (a) In the definition *Zone* the distance is changed to two hull lengths.
- (b) Rule 18.2(b) is changed to:

If boats are *overlapped* when the first of them reaches the *zone*, the outside boat at that moment shall thereafter give the inside boat *mark-room*. If a boat is *clear ahead* when she reaches the *zone*, or she later becomes *clear ahead* when another boat passes head to wind, the boat *clear astern* at that moment shall thereafter give her *mark-room*.

- (c) Rule 18.4 is deleted.
- (d) Unless deleted by the sailing instructions, when rule 20 applies the following arm signals by the helmsman are required in addition to the hails:
 - (1) for 'Room to tack', repeatedly and clearly pointing to windward; and
 - (2) for 'You tack', repeatedly and clearly pointing at the other boat and waving the arm to windward.
- (e) Add new rule 23.3: 'A boat that has *finished* shall not act to interfere with a boat that has not *finished*.'
- (f) Add new rule 23.4: 'When boats in different races meet, any change of course by either boat shall be consistent with complying with a *rule* or trying to win her own race.'
- (g) Add to rule 41: 'However, a boat may receive help from another boat on her team provided electronic communication is not used.'
- (h) Rule 45 is deleted.

D1.2 Protests and Requests for Redress

- (a) Rule 60.1 is changed to: A boat may
 - (1) protest another boat, but not for an alleged breach of a rule of Part 2 unless she was involved in the incident or the incident involved contact between members of the other team; or
 - (2) request redress.
- (b) Rule 61.1(a) is changed so that a boat may remove her red flag after it has been conspicuously displayed.

- (c) A boat intending to request redress for an incident in the racing area shall display a red flag at the first reasonable opportunity after the incident. She shall display the red flag until it is acknowledged by the race committee or by an umpire.
- (d) The race committee or protest committee shall not protest a boat for breaking a rule of Part 2 or rule 31 or 42 except
 - (1) based on evidence in a report from an umpire after a black and white flag has been displayed; or
 - (2) under rule 14 upon receipt of a report from any source alleging damage or injury.
- (e) *Protests* and requests for redress need not be in writing. The protest committee may take evidence in any way it considers appropriate and may communicate its decision orally.
- (f) A boat is not eligible for redress based on damage or injury caused by another boat on her team.
- (g) When a supplied boat suffers a breakdown, rule D5 applies and the boat is not entitled to redress under rule 62.

D1.3 Penalties

(a) Rule 44.1 is changed to

A boat may take a One-Turn Penalty when she may have broken one or more rules of Part 2, or rule 31 or 42, in an incident while *racing*. However, when she may have broken a rule of Part 2 and rule 31 in the same incident she need not take the penalty for breaking rule 31.

- (b) When a boat takes a penalty by retiring, she shall notify the race committee as soon as possible and shall have 6 points added to her score.
- (c) There shall be no penalty for breaking a rule of Part 2 when the incident is between boats on the same team and there is no contact.

D2 UMPIRED RACES

D2.1 When Rule D2 Applies

Rule D2 applies to umpired races. Races to be umpired shall be identified either in the sailing instructions or by the display of flag U no later than the warning signal.

D2.2 Protests by Boats

When a boat protests under a rule of Part 2 or under rule 31 or 42 for an incident in the racing area, she is not entitled to a hearing and the following applies:

- (a) She shall hail 'Protest' and conspicuously display a red flag at the first reasonable opportunity for each.
- (b) The umpires shall give the boats time to respond. A boat involved in the incident may promptly take the appropriate penalty or clearly indicate that she will do so as soon as possible.
- (c) If no boat takes a penalty, an umpire shall decide whether to penalize any boat.

- (d) If more than one boat broke a rule and was not exonerated, an umpire may penalize any boat that broke a rule and did not take the appropriate penalty.
- (e) An umpire shall signal a decision in compliance with rule D2.4.
- (f) A boat penalized by an umpire shall take a Two-Turns Penalty.

D2.3 Penalties Initiated by an Umpire

An umpire may penalize a boat without a *protest* by another boat, or report the incident to the protest committee, or both, when the boat

- (a) breaks rule 31 or 42 and does not take a penalty;
- (b) breaks a rule, clearly indicates that she will take a One-Turn Penalty, and then fails to do so;
- (c) fails to take a penalty signalled by an umpire;
- (d) commits a breach of sportsmanship;
- (e) breaks rule 14 when damage or injury has been caused;
- (f) breaks a rule and takes a penalty and as a result she or her team gains an advantage;
- (g) breaks a rule of Part 2 through contact with another boat on her team or a boat in another race, and no boat takes a penalty.

The umpire shall signal a decision in compliance with rule D2.4. A boat penalized by an umpire shall take a Two-Turns Penalty except that, when an umpire hails a number of turns, the boat shall take that number of One-Turn Penalties.

D2.4 Signals by an Umpire

An umpire shall signal a decision with one long sound and the display of a flag as follows:

- (a) For no penalty, a green and white flag.
- (b) To penalize one or more boats, a red flag. The umpire shall hail or signal to identify each boat penalized.
- (c) To report the incident to the protest committee, a black and white flag.

D2.5 Limited Umpiring

This rule changes rule D2.2(b) only if the sailing instructions so state.

When a boat protests and either there is no decision signalled, or an umpire displays a yellow flag with one long sound signalling he has insufficient facts to make a decision, the protesting boat is entitled to a hearing.

D2.6 Two Flag Protest Procedure

This rule replaces rules D2.2(c) and (d) only if the sailing instructions so state.

- (c) After allowing the protested boat time to take a penalty, the protesting boat may request a decision by conspicuously displaying a yellow flag and hailing 'Umpire'.
- (d) An umpire shall then decide whether to penalize any boat.

If a boat hails for an umpire decision without complying with the protest procedure, the umpire shall disregard her hail.

D2.7 Limitations on Other Proceedings

A decision, action or non-action of an umpire shall not be

- (a) grounds for redress,
- (b) subject to an appeal under rule 70, or
- (c) grounds for abandoning a race after it has started.

The protest committee may decide to consider giving redress when it believes that an official boat, including an umpire boat, may have seriously interfered with a competing boat.

D3 SCORING A RACE

- **D3.1** (a) Each boat *finishing* a race shall be scored points equal to her finishing place. All other boats shall be scored points equal to the number of boats entitled to *race*.
 - (b) A boat that is scored OCS shall have 10 points added to her score unless she retired as soon as possible after the starting signal.
 - (c) When a boat fails to take a penalty imposed by an umpire at or near the finishing line, she shall be given last place and all scores shall be adjusted accordingly.
 - (d) When a protest committee decides that a boat that is a *party* to a protest hearing has broken a rule, the following applies:
 - (1) if the boat has not taken a penalty, it shall add 6 points to her score;
 - (2) if the boat or her team has gained an advantage despite any penalty taken or imposed, it shall increase that boat's score;
 - (3) when the boat has broken rule 1 or 2, rule 14 when she has caused damage or injury, or a *rule* when not *racing*, it may penalize the boat's team by half or more race wins, but the opposing team shall not be awarded those race wins, or it may impose no penalty.
 - (e) The team with the lower total points wins the race. If the totals are equal, the team that does not have first place wins.
- **D3.2** When all boats on one team have *finished*, retired or failed to *start*, the other team's boats *racing* at that time shall be scored the points they would have received had they *finished*.

D4 SCORING A SERIES

D4.1 Terminology

In a round-robin series teams are assigned to one or more groups and scheduled to sail against all other teams in their group one or more times. In a knock-out series teams are scheduled to sail in matches; a match is one or more races between two teams.

D4.2 Terminating a Series

The race committee may terminate a series at any reasonable time taking into account the entries, weather, time constraints and other relevant factors. When a round-robin series is terminated, any round-robin in the series in which 80% or more of the full schedule of races has been completed shall be scored as complete.

When less than 80% of the full schedule of races has been completed, the round-robin shall be excluded from the results, but may be used to break ties.

D4.3 Scoring a Round-Robin Series

The teams shall be ranked in order of number of race wins, highest number first. If the teams in a round-robin group have not completed an equal number of races, they shall be ranked in order of the percentage of races won, highest number first.

D4.4 Ties in a Completed Round-Robin Series

Ties in a completed series shall be broken using only the results in the series, in order,

- (a) the highest number of race wins in all races between the tied teams;
- (b) the lowest total points scored in all races between the tied teams;
- (c) if two teams remain tied, the winner of the last race between them;
- (d) the lowest average points scored in all races against common opponents;
- (e) a sail-off if possible, otherwise a game of chance.

If a tie is partially broken by one of these, the remaining tie shall be broken by starting again at rule D4.4(a).

D4.5 Ties in an Incomplete Round-Robin Series

If a round-robin series is not completed, teams shall be ranked according to the results from all completed round-robins in the series. Ties shall be broken whenever possible using the results from races between the tied teams in the incomplete round-robin. Other ties shall be broken in accordance with rule D4.4.

D4.6 Scoring a Knock-Out Series

The winner of a match is the first team to score the number of race wins stated in the sailing instructions.

D4.7 Incomplete Knock-Out Series

If a match in a knock-out series is not completed (including 0-0), the result of the match shall be determined using, in order,

(a) the higher number of race wins in the incomplete match;

- (b) the higher number of race wins in all races between the teams in the event;
- (c) the higher place in the most recent round-robin series, applying D4.4(a) if necessary;
- (d) the winner of the most recent race between the teams.

If this rule fails to determine a result, the series shall be tied unless the sailing instructions provide for some other result.

D5 BREAKDOWNS WHEN BOATS ARE SUPPLIED BY THE ORGANIZING AUTHORITY

- **D5.1** Rule D5 applies when boats are supplied by the organizing authority.
- **D5.2** When a boat suffers a breakdown in the racing area, she may request a score change by displaying a red flag at the first reasonable opportunity after the breakdown until it is acknowledged by the race committee or by an umpire. If possible, she shall continue *racing*.
- **D5.3** The race committee shall decide requests for a score change in accordance with rules D5.4 and D5.5. It may take evidence in any way it considers appropriate and may communicate its decision orally.
- **D5.4** When the race committee decides that the team's finishing position was made significantly worse, that the breakdown was through no fault of the crew, and that in the same circumstances a reasonably competent crew would not have been able to avoid the breakdown, it shall make as equitable a decision as possible. This may be to *abandon* and resail the race or, when the boat's finishing position was predictable, award her points for that position. Any doubt about a boat's position when she broke down shall be resolved against her.
- **D5.5** A breakdown caused by defective supplied equipment or a breach of a *rule* by an opponent shall not normally be determined to be the fault of the crew, but one caused by careless handling, capsizing or a breach by a boat on the same team shall be. If there is doubt, it shall be presumed that the crew are not at fault.

Submission: 201-11

Council Minutes November 2011 - Appendix 4

APPENDIX E

RADIO SAILING RACING RULES

Radio sailing races shall be sailed under The Racing Rules of Sailing *as changed by this appendix.*

E1 DEFINITIONS, TERMINOLOGY, RULES OF PARTS 1, 2 AND 7

E1.1 Definitions

- (a) Add to the definition *Interested Party*: 'but not a competitor when acting as an observer'.
- (b) In the definition *Zone* the distance is changed to four hull lengths.
- (c) Add new definition*Disabled* A boat is *disabled* while she is unable to race.

E1.2 Terminology

The Terminology paragraph of the Introduction is changed so that

- (a) 'Boat' means a sailboat controlled by radio signals and having no crew;
- (b) 'Competitor' means the person that controls a boat using radio signals;
- (c) In the rules of Part 1 and Part 5, rule E6 and the definitions *Party* and *Protest*, 'boat' shall include the competitor controlling her.
- (d) 'IRSA' means the International Radio Sailing Association;
- (e) In the racing rules, but not in its appendices, replace the noun 'race' with 'heat'. In appendix E a race comprises one or more heats and is completed when the last heat in the race is completed.

E1.3 Changes to the Rules of Parts 1, 2 and 7

- (a) Rule 1.2 is deleted.
- (b) In rule 20, hails and replies shall be made by the competitor controlling the boat.
- (c) Rule 22 is changed to 'If possible, a boat shall avoid a boat that is *disabled*.'
- (d) Rule 90.2(c) is changed to: Changes to the sailing instructions may be communicated orally to all affected competitors before the warning signal of the relevant race or heat. When appropriate, changes shall be confirmed in writing.

Submission: 201-11

E2 ADDITIONAL RULES WHEN RACING

Rule E2 applies only while boats are racing.

E2.1 Hailing Requirements

- (a) Hails by a competitor or race official shall be made so that the competitors to whom the hail is directed might reasonably be expected to hear it.
- (b) When a competitor or race official hails the sail number of a boat, the individual digits of the number shall be hailed; for example 'one five', not 'fifteen'.

E2.2 Giving Advice

A competitor shall not give tactical or strategic advice to a competitor controlling a boat that is *racing*.

E2.3 Boat Out of Radio Control

A competitor who loses radio control of his boat shall promptly hail and repeat '(The boat's sail number) out of control' and the boat shall retire.

E2.4 Transmitter Aerials

If a transmitter aerial is longer than 200mm when extended, the extremity shall be adequately protected.

E2.5 Radio Interference

Transmission of radio signals that cause interference with the control of other boats is prohibited. A competitor found to have broken this rule shall not race until he has proven compliance to the satisfaction of the race committee.

E3 CONDUCT OF A RACE

E3.1 Control Area

The sailing instructions may specify a control area; if not specified, it shall be unrestricted. Competitors shall be in this area when controlling boats that are *racing*, except briefly to handle and then release or relaunch the boat.

E3.2 Launching Area

The sailing instructions may specify a launching area and its use; if not specified it shall be unrestricted.

E3.3 Course Board

The sailing instructions may require the course to be displayed on a board and, if so, the board shall be located in or adjacent to the control area.

E3.4 Changes to the Rules

- (a) Rules 30.2 and 33 are deleted.
- (b) All race committee signals shall be made orally or by other sounds. No visual signals are required unless specified in the sailing instructions.
- (c) Courses shall not be shortened.
- (d) Rule 32.1(b) is changed to 'because of foul weather or thunderstorms,'

E3.5 Starting Races

(a) Rule 26 is changed to:

Races shall be started using warning, preparatory and starting signals at one minute intervals. During the minute before the starting signal, additional sound or oral signals shall be made at ten second intervals, and during the final ten seconds at one second intervals. Each signal shall be timed from the beginning of its sound.

(b) The starting and finishing lines shall be tangential to, and on the course side of, the starting and finishing marks.

E3.6 Individual Recall

Rule 29.1 is changed to:

When at a boat's starting signal any part of the boat is on the course side of the starting line, or when she must comply with rule 30.1, the race committee shall promptly hail 'Recall (sail numbers)' and repeat the hail as appropriate.

E3.7 General Recall

Rule 29.2 is changed to:

When at the starting signal the race committee is unable to identify boats that are on the course side of the starting line or to which rule 30 applies, or there has been an error in the starting procedure, the race committee may hail and repeat as appropriate 'General recall' and make two loud sounds. The preparatory signal for a new start will normally be made shortly thereafter.

E3.8 Black Flag Rule

When the race committee informs a boat that she has broken rule 30.3, she shall immediately leave the course area.

E4 RULES OF PART 4

E4.1 Deleted Rules in Part 4

Rules 40, 43, 44.3, 45, 47, 48, 49, 50, 52 and 54 are deleted.

E4.2 Outside Help

Rule 41 is changed to:

A boat or the competitor controlling her shall not receive help from any outside source, except

- (a) when the competitor is ill, injured or in danger;
- (b) when her hull, rig or appendages are entangled with another boat, help from the other boat or competitor or from a safety boat crew;
- (c) help in the form of information freely available to all competitors.

E4.3 Taking a Penalty

Rule 44.1 is changed to

A boat may take a One-Turn Penalty when she may have broken one or more rules of Part 2, or rule 31, in an incident while *racing*. However,

- (a) when she may have broken a rule of Part 2 and rule 31 in the same incident she need not take the penalty for breaking rule 31;
- (b) if the boat gained a significant advantage in the heat or race by her breach despite taking a penalty, her penalty shall be an additional One-Turn Penalty;
- (c) if the boat caused serious damage, or as a result of breaking a rule of Part 2 she caused another boat to become *disabled* and retire, her penalty shall be to retire.

E4.4 Person in Charge

Rule 46 is changed to 'The member or organisation that entered the boat shall designate the competitor. See rule 75.'

E5 RACING WITH OBSERVERS AND UMPIRES

E5.1 Observers

- (a) The race committee may appoint observers, who may be competitors.
- (b) Observers shall hail the sail numbers of boats that make contact with a *mark* or another boat and shall repeat the hail as appropriate.
- (c) At the end of the heat, observers shall report to the race committee all unresolved incidents, and any failure to sail the course as required by rule 28.1.

E5.2 Umpired Races

IRSA Addendum Q shall apply to umpired races. Races to be umpired may be identified in the sailing instructions or orally before the warning signal.

E5.3 Rules for Observers and Umpires

Observers and umpires shall be located in the control area. They shall not use any aid that gives them a visual advantage over competitors.

E6 PROTESTS AND REQUESTS FOR REDRESS

E6.1 Right to Protest

Rule 60.1 is changed to:

A boat may

- (a) protest another boat, but not for an alleged breach of a rule of Part 2, 3 or 4 unless she was scheduled to sail in that heat; or
- (b) request redress.

However, a boat or competitor may not protest for an alleged breach of rules E2 or E3.8.

E6.2 Protest for a Rule Broken by a Competitor

When a race committee or protest committee learns that a competitor may have broken a rule, it may protest the boat controlled by that competitor.

E6.3 Informing the Protestee

Rule 61.1(a) is changed to:

A boat intending to protest shall inform the other boat at the first reasonable opportunity. When her *protest* concerns an incident in the racing area that she is involved in or sees, she shall hail twice '(Her own sail number) protest (the sail number of the other boat)'.

E6.4 Informing the Race Committee

A boat intending to protest or request redress about an incident in the racing area or control area shall inform the race officer as soon as possible after *finishing* or retiring.

E6.5 Time Limits

A protest, request for redress or request for reopening shall be delivered to the race officer no later than ten minutes after the last boat in the heat *finishes* or after the relevant incident, whichever is the later.

E6.6 Redress

Add to rule 62.1:

- (e) external radio interference acknowledged by the race committee, or
- (f) becoming *disabled* and as a result retiring because of the action of a boat that was breaking a rule of Part 2 or of a vessel not *racing* that was required to keep clear.

E6.7 Right to Be Present

In rule 63.3(a) 'the representatives of boats shall have been on board' is changed to 'the representative of each boat shall be the competitor controlling her'.

E6.8 Taking Evidence and Finding Facts

When the protest concerns an alleged breach of a rule of Part 2, 3 or 4, any witness shall have been in the control area at the time of the incident. If the witness is a competitor who was not acting as an observer, he shall also have been scheduled to race in the relevant heat.

E6.9 Decisions on Redress

Add to rule 64.2:

If a boat is given redress because she was damaged, her redress shall include reasonable time, but not more than 30 minutes, to effect repairs before her next heat.

E7 PENALTIES AND EXONERATION

- **E7.1** When a protest committee decides that a boat that is a *party* to a protest hearing has broken a *rule* other than a rule of Part 2, 3 or 4, it shall either
 - (a) disqualify her or add any number of points (including zero and fractions of points) to her score. The penalty shall be applied, if possible, to the heat or race in which the *rule* was broken; otherwise it shall be applied to the next heat or race for that boat. When points are added, the scores of other boats shall not be changed; or

Add to rule 63.6:

(b) require her to take one or more One-Turn Penalties that shall be taken as soon as possible after the starting signal of her next heat that is started and not subsequently recalled or *abandoned*.

However, if the boat has broken a rule in Appendix G or rule E8, the protest committee shall act in accordance with rule G4.

E7.2 Exoneration after Contact with a Mark

When a boat has been compelled to break rule 31 by a boat required to give her *mark-room*, she shall be exonerated if the other boat hails to acknowledge breaking rule 18 and takes the appropriate penalty.

E8 IDENTIFICATION ON SAILS (RRS APPENDIX G)

(a) The first paragraph of rule G1.1 is changed to

Every boat of a class administered or recognised by the IRSA shall display a sail number on both sides of each sail. Class insignia and national letters shall be displayed on mainsails as stated in rules G1.1(a), G1.1(b), E8(d) and E8(e).

- (b) Rule G1.1(c) is changed to
 - (1) A sail number, which shall be the last two digits of the boat registration number or the competitor's or owner's personal number, allotted by the relevant issuing authority.
 - (2) When possible, there shall be space in front of a sail number for a numeric prefix.
 - (3) When the sail number is in the range '00' to '09', the initial '0' shall be omitted and the remaining digit positioned to allow space for both a prefix and a suffix.
 - (4) '0' shall not be used as a prefix.
 - (5) When there is a conflict between sail numbers or a sail number might be misread, the race committee may require that the sail numbers on one or more boats be changed to a numeric alternative.
 - (6) Any changed sail number shall become the sail number for the event.
- (c) The sentence after rule G1.1(c) is deleted.
- (d) Rule G1.2(b) is changed to

The height of characters and distance between them on the same and opposite sides of the sail shall be as follows:

Submission: 201-11

	Minimum	Maximum
Class insignia: Except where positioned back to back, shortest distance between insignia on		
opposite sides of sail	20 mm	
Sail numbers: Height of characters	100 mm	110 mm
Shortest distance between adjoining characters on same side of sail	20 mm	30 mm
Shortest distance between sail numbers on opposite sides of sail and between sail numbers and other identification	60 mm	
National letters:		
Height of characters	60 mm	70 mm
Shortest distance between adjoining characters on same side of sail	13 mm	23 mm
Shortest distance between national letters on opposite sides of sail	40 mm	

- (e) Rule G1.3 is changed to
 - (1) Class insignia may be positioned back to back on opposite sides of the sail where the design coincides. Otherwise class insignia, sail numbers and national letters shall be positioned at different heights, with those on the starboard side being uppermost.
 - (2) On a mainsail, sail numbers shall be positioned above the national letters and below the class insignia.
 - (3) Sail numbers shall be positioned on a mainsail above the line perpendicular to the luff through the quarter leech point.
- (f) Where the size of a sail makes it impossible to comply with rule E8(b), the minimum dimensions in rule E8(d) or the positioning requirements in rule E8(e)(3), exceptions are permitted in the following order of priority:
 - (1) omission of national letters;
 - (2) position of the mainsail sail numbers lower than the line perpendicular to the luff through the quarter leech point;
 - (3) reduction of the shortest distance between sail numbers on opposite sides of the sail provided the shortest distance is not less than 20 mm;
 - (4) reduction of the height of sail numbers.